

# Probiotic Therapy in Burn Wound Healing: A Concise Review of Preclinical and Clinical Evidence

Afshin Zahed<sup>1</sup> , Zahra Teymouri Azargholenji<sup>2</sup> , Mohammad Ali Abbasian<sup>3✉</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

<sup>2</sup> 5th-Year Medicine Student, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>3</sup> 5th-Year Medicine Student, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

## Article Info

### Article type:

Review Article

### Article History:

Received: 2025/07/16

Revised: 2026/04/16

Accepted: 2026/04/16

Published Online:

### ✉ Correspondence to:

Mohammad Ali Abbasian

### Email:

[mohammadali.abbasian@stud.semmelweis.hu](mailto:mohammadali.abbasian@stud.semmelweis.hu)

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Burns are among the most common forms of skin injury and are frequently associated with high rates of complications, infections, and delayed wound healing. Key factors that impede recovery include disruption of the skin barrier, microbial imbalance (dysbiosis), persistent inflammation, and elevated oxidative stress. In recent years, probiotic therapy has emerged as a novel and complementary strategy to enhance wound repair, primarily through modulation of immune responses and the microbiota of both the skin and gut.

**Methods:** This concise review systematically examined peer-reviewed literature indexed in reputable databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science. Preclinical studies, encompassing cellular and animal models, as well as clinical trials investigating the effects of probiotics on burn wound healing, were analyzed. The review focused on publications from 2010 to 2025, with emphasis on probiotic strains, routes of administration, and wound healing outcomes.

**Results:** Probiotics, particularly *Lactobacillus plantarum*, have demonstrated notable efficacy in burn wound repair by inhibiting pathogenic microorganisms, reducing inflammation, and accelerating epithelialization, even under infected or diabetic conditions. Other *Lactobacillus* strains, including *L. acidophilus*, *L. rhamnosus*, and *L. casei*, facilitate faster wound recovery by enhancing fibroblast activity and shortening the inflammatory phase. In contrast, *Bifidobacterium* species primarily support burn patients by strengthening mucosal immunity and mitigating systemic infections. Overall, both topical and oral probiotic interventions appear to be safe and effective adjunctive strategies, exerting their effects through modulation of the microbiome and control of inflammation.

**Conclusion:** Current preclinical and clinical evidence suggests that probiotic therapy can serve as a safe and effective approach to accelerate burn wound healing via modulation of the microbiome and inflammatory responses. Nonetheless, well-designed randomized clinical trials are required to determine the optimal strains, dosages, and routes of administration for maximum therapeutic benefit.

**Keywords:** Probiotic therapy, Burn wounds, Wound healing, Microbiota, Inflammation, Treatment

## ➤ How to cite this paper

Zahed A, Teymouri Azargholenji Z, Annasian MA. Probiotic Therapy in Burn Wound Healing: A Concise Review of Preclinical and Clinical Evidence. *Plant Biotechnology Persa*. 2026; 8(2): 211-221.

## Introduction

Burn injuries rank among the most common and complex forms of skin trauma, affecting millions of individuals worldwide each year and imposing substantial mortality, long-term disability, and significant healthcare costs [1]. Particularly in moderate to severe cases, burn wounds frequently exhibit delayed healing, recurrent infections, pathological scar formation, and reduced quality of life, remaining a major public health challenge [2]. Despite significant advances in supportive care and surgical interventions, effective management of burn wounds continues to represent a formidable clinical challenge [1,2].

Etiologically, burns may result from thermal, chemical, electrical, frictional, or radiative insults, with variable depth and severity of skin damage [3]. Disruption of the skin's physical barrier compromises its protective functions, increases susceptibility to microbial invasion, and impairs local homeostasis [4]. These conditions create a permissive environment for pathogen colonization and both local and systemic infections, which are among the leading causes of delayed wound healing and increased mortality in burn patients [5].

From a pathophysiological perspective, burn wound repair is a dynamic, multi-phase process encompassing inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling, all of which are frequently dysregulated in affected patients [6]. Persistent inflammation, elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress, impaired fibroblast and keratinocyte function, reduced angiogenesis, and microbial imbalance (dysbiosis) represent critical factors that hinder recovery [7]. These sustained disturbances not only delay wound closure but also increase the risk of hypertrophic and keloid scar formation [8].

Current standard therapies for burn wounds include debridement, topical dressings, antibiotics, anti-inflammatory agents, silver sulfadiazine, skin grafting, and other surgical interventions [9]. While these strategies are effective in controlling infection and preserving patient survival, they are associated with notable limitations and adverse effects [9,10]. Antibiotic resistance, cytotoxicity, delayed epithelialization, allergic reactions, and disruption of

the natural skin microbiome are among the unintended consequences of conventional chemical therapies, highlighting the need for safer, complementary approaches [10,11].

In this context, growing attention has been directed toward the skin and gut microbiomes due to their roles in modulating immune, inflammatory, and reparative responses, offering new therapeutic avenues for burn wound management [12]. Accumulating evidence indicates that microbial imbalance can exacerbate inflammation, promote pathogen colonization, and impair tissue repair processes [13]. Interventions capable of restoring microbial equilibrium, therefore, hold promise for enhancing wound healing outcomes [12,13].

Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer health benefits on the host [14]. These organisms exert a range of beneficial effects including pathogen inhibition, immune modulation, inflammation reduction, stimulation of growth factors, promotion of angiogenesis, and reinforcement of epithelial barrier function demonstrating significant potential in accelerating skin wound healing, including burn wounds [14,15]. Administration of probiotics, whether orally, topically, or incorporated into advanced bioactive dressings, represents a low-risk, cost-effective strategy that has garnered considerable research interest [15,16].

Given the substantial growth of *in vitro*, animal, and clinical studies in recent years, a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence regarding the role of probiotic therapy in burn wound healing is warranted [17]. Accordingly, this concise review aims to examine and analyze preclinical (cellular and animal) and clinical studies exploring the effects of probiotics on burn wound repair, elucidate underlying mechanisms of action, and evaluate their potential as an adjunctive therapeutic strategy in clinical practice.

## Methods

This study is a concise narrative review of the current scientific evidence regarding the effects of probiotics on burn wound healing, encompassing both preclinical

investigations (cellular and animal models) and human clinical studies. The primary objective of this review was to synthesize and critically analyze available data on the efficacy, safety, and mechanisms of action of probiotics in accelerating burn wound repair and mitigating associated complications.

### Data

Relevant studies were identified through comprehensive searches of international databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, as well as Persian-language repositories such as SID and Magiran.

### Search

The literature search was limited to publications from 2010 to 2025 to capture both foundational studies and the most recent findings regarding the application of probiotics in burn wound healing.

### Keywords and Search Strategy

A combination of primary keywords, MeSH terms, and their equivalents was employed to identify pertinent studies. The main search terms included:

- Probiotics
- Burn wound OR Burns OR Burn injury
- Wound healing
- Skin microbiota OR Gut microbiota
- Lactobacillus OR Bifidobacterium
- Inflammation OR Immune modulation

The search strategy was structured using Boolean operators as follows:

(Probiotics OR "Lactobacillus" OR "Bifidobacterium")

AND ("Burn wound" OR Burns OR "Burn injury")

AND ("Wound healing" OR Inflammation OR "Immune modulation")

AND ("Skin microbiota" OR "Gut microbiota")

### Inclusion

Studies were eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria:

### Sources

Original research articles, reviews, experimental studies, clinical trials, and preclinical studies examining the effects of probiotics on burn wound healing.

Investigations conducted in cellular, animal, or human models.

Publications in English or Persian with full-text availability.

Studies reporting outcomes such as wound closure rate, epithelialization, inflammation, infection, collagen synthesis, or underlying molecular mechanisms.

### Exclusion

Studies were excluded based on the following parameters:

Research unrelated to burn wounds or probiotics.

Abstracts, conference proceedings, letters to the editor, or publications lacking full-text access.

Studies with low methodological quality or insufficient data.

Investigations focusing solely on non-burn wounds.

### Criteria

### Study

### Selection

### Process

Initially, all identified articles were retrieved according to the defined search strategy. A preliminary screening based on titles and abstracts was conducted to remove irrelevant studies. Subsequently, the full texts of remaining articles were meticulously reviewed, and studies meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected. Key data including study type/model, population or animal/cell model, probiotic type and strain, dosage and duration, main outcomes/effects, and mechanisms of action were extracted and analyzed descriptively.

### Results

The findings indicate that probiotics can play a significant role in enhancing burn wound healing. Preclinical evidence demonstrates that various probiotics including *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp., kefir, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* accelerate wound repair by reducing inflammation, inhibiting pathogenic microorganisms, and promoting fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis. These effects are primarily mediated through modulation of the microbiome,

downregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and upregulation of growth factors such as bFGF and TGF- $\beta$ 1 (Table 1).

In contaminated or diabetic animal models, strains such as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. casei*, and *Enterococcus mundtii* have been shown to reduce infection and scar formation, enhance epithelialization, and improve tissue mechanical strength, thereby facilitating wound repair. These benefits are achieved through antimicrobial activity, activation of immune pathways, and maintenance of probiotic viability (Table 1).

Clinical studies involving patients with severe and deep burns report that administration or topical application (e.g., sprays) of probiotics such as *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Bifidobacterium infantis*

reduces graft loss, improves wound healing quality, enhances immune responses, and restores microbiome balance. Furthermore, these interventions are associated with shorter hospital stays and lower infection rates (Table 1).

Systematic reviews and narrative articles consistently support the safety and efficacy of probiotics particularly *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp. in burn wound healing. Topical application or incorporation into dressings and hydrogels may further enhance reparative effects while reducing the risk of sepsis and infection (Table 1).

Detailed results, categorized according to study type, model, probiotic strain, and observed outcomes, are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1:** Preclinical and clinical studies evaluating the effects of probiotics on burn wound healing

| Study Type / Population / Model                      | Probiotic Strain(s)   | Dose & Duration  | Main Outcomes / Effects  | Mechanism / Notes  | Ref. |
|--|---|--|--|--|------|
| In vitro & in vivo, Cellular and animal burn models  | <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.,<br><i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp.       | 10 <sup>6</sup> –10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/day, 7–21 days  | Reduced inflammation, inhibited pathogens, enhanced fibroblast proliferation, improved epidermal regeneration      | Delivered via hydrogel; complex wound healing mechanisms | [18] |
| Narrative review, Preclinical and laboratory studies | Topical probiotics  | —  | Infection control, inflammation modulation, improved tissue repair   | Targeting skin microbiome                                | [19] |
| Animal study, Burn model in rats                     | <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i><br>(hydrogel–collagen scaffold) | 12–22 days   | Reduced inflammation, increased epithelialization, collagen deposition, improved tissue strength, reduced scarring | Combined with biphasic bioactive dressing                | [20] |
| Experimental in vivo                                 | —   | Encapsulated<br><i>Lactiplantibacillus plantarum</i> ; viability ≥82%, initial swelling ~2000% | Accelerated wound closure, infection clearance, modulation of inflammatory and oxidative stress responses          | Stabilized formulation using cationic–anionic polymer    | [21] |
| Experimental in vivo                                 | —   | Immobilized probiotics in sodium alginate–chloride film + Aloe vera gel; daily                 | Reduced microbial load, shorter healing time, improved repair indices  | Probiotic stabilization with Aloe vera                   | [22] |
| Review, Recent studies                               | Probiotics  | —  | Reduced inflammation, pathogen inhibition, biofilm prevention, accelerated tissue repair                           | Combined with nanoparticles or phage therapy             | [23] |
| Animal study, Burn sepsis model in mice              | <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>                                  | —  | Reduced mortality, prevented sepsis, inhibited systemic pathogen spread, decreased inflammatory cytokines          | Preventive topical application                           | [24] |

|  |  |                                   |  |  |      |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|------|
| Animal study, Second-degree burn in Wistar rats                            | <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>                     | Daily, 14 days                    | Increased wound closure percentage, reduced inflammation, infection prevention, accelerated re-epithelialization                                     | Oserin-based formulation   | [25] |
| Systematic review, In vitro, animal, RCT                                   | Probiotics & postbiotics                             | Topical, oral, systemic           | Accelerated burn healing, immune modulation, increased keratinocyte & fibroblast proliferation, collagen synthesis, angiogenesis, biofilm inhibition | Restoration of microbiome balance  | [26] |
| Clinical study, 64 patients, deep burns                                    | Oral probiotics                                      | Full hospitalization period       | Reduced graft loss percentage, improved graft quality  | —  | [27] |
| Animal study, Rabbit   | <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>                       | Topical                           | Reduced infection severity & duration, decreased hypertrophic scarring   | Decreased collagen I mRNA and protein deposition                         | [28] |
| Animal study, Burn-infected mice   | <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> (cells & supernatant) | 14 days                           | Reduced wound size, increased healing percentage, eradicated <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>   | Accelerated healing in infected wounds; effective antibiotic alternative | [29] |
| Clinical study, Children with acute burns                                  | Daily probiotics                                     | —                                 | Safe, shortened wound healing time, no increased infection risk  | —  | [30] |
| Systematic review & meta-analysis, 3 RCTs + 2 cohort studies, 341 patients | Probiotics   | Completion of adjunct therapy     | Significantly reduced sepsis risk, lower infection rates, improved CRP & IgA, enhanced wound healing indices   | Safe and effective   | [31] |
| Case review, 2 RCTs  | Probiotics   | —                                 | Reduced systemic inflammation, enhanced immune function, decreased hs-CRP, increased IgA, accelerated wound healing                                  | Adjunct therapy  | [32] |
| Preclinical, In vitro & burn model in rats                                 | Kefir  | 3.12–12.5 $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$ | Enhanced fibroblast proliferation & migration, decreased IL-1 $\beta$ & TGF- $\beta$ 1,  | Combined with silver sulfadiazine  | [33] |

|  |   |         |   |   |      |
|--|---|---------|---|---|------|
|  |   |         | increased bFGF, improved angiogenesis & connective tissue formation   |   |      |
| Preclinical, In vitro & mouse wound model                  | <i>Lactiplantibacillus plantarum</i>                      | —       | Inhibited <i>P. aeruginosa</i> & <i>S. aureus</i> , accelerated wound healing   | Antimicrobial factor secretion, co-aggregation with pathogens                       | [34] |
| Double-blind clinical trial, 40 children, 20–50% BSA burns | Oral probiotics   | —       | Improved wound healing indices, shorter hospitalization, reduced diarrhea & graft requirement                           | —   | [35] |
| Clinical case study, 20 patients, deep second-degree burns | <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> supernatant                | —       | Reduced infection, improved healing, 66.7% bacteria-free wounds   | —   | [36] |
| Preclinical, BALB/c mice, second-degree burns              | <i>Enterococcus mundtii</i> QAUEM2808                     | —       | Enhanced epithelialization, collagen deposition, hair follicle formation, inhibited harmful bacteria                    | Electrospun nanocomposite   | [37] |
| Clinical study, 16 burn patients                           | <i>Bifidobacterium infantis</i> 35624                     | 14 days | Increased SIgA, improved gut immunity   | Single-strain, enhanced mucosal immune response                                     | [38] |
| Preclinical, Burn animal model                             | <i>Lactiplantibacillus plantarum</i> microparticles       | —       | Enhanced wound healing, inhibited <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , maintained probiotic viability                                 | High moisture-absorbing polymer, spray-drying                                       | [39] |
| Experimental, Male diabetic rats, burn wounds              | <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> gel                        | —       | Reduced wound size, increased TGF- $\beta$ , shortened inflammatory phase, enhanced wound mechanical strength           | Compared with SSD   | [40] |
| Narrative review, Preclinical & clinical                   | <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.,<br><i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. | —       | Cytokine profile modulation, increased IgA, epithelial regeneration, up to 75% reduction in infection-related mortality | IL-10 & Th1 pathway activation, accelerated healing in diabetic/surgical conditions | [41] |

|   |   |                      |  |  |      |
|---|---|----------------------|--|--|------|
| Controlled clinical trial, 28 patients, second-degree burns | Probiotic spray 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU/mL                    | Twice daily, 28 days | 90% wound size reduction, decreased infection & inflammation, improved epithelialization & microbiome balance              | —                                      | [42] |
| Animal study, Sprague-Dawley rats, second-degree burns      | <i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> ATCC 7469 ointment         | Days 1, 3, 7, 14     | Increased wound closure percentage, anti-inflammatory effect, enhanced fibroblast migration, epithelial regeneration       | —                                      | [43] |
| Animal study, Wistar rats, infected wounds                  | <i>Lactobacillus casei</i> supernatant                    | Daily                | Reduced inflammation, increased fibroblast activity, enhanced re-epithelialization, increased epidermal & dermal thickness | Lactic, acetic, citric, succinic acids | [44] |
| Animal study, Wistar rats, infected wounds                  | <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> 299v (cells & supernatant) | 7 days               | Reduced wound size, decreased inflammation, improved skin repair parameters; cell pellet more effective than imipenem      | —                                      | [45] |

## Discussion

Current preclinical and clinical evidence indicates that probiotic therapy may play a significant role in accelerating burn wound healing. Findings from cellular and animal models demonstrate that probiotics particularly strains such as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *L. acidophilus*, *L. rhamnosus*, and *L. casei* can expedite wound repair by modulating immune responses, reducing inflammation, enhancing fibroblast proliferation, and promoting epithelialization. These mechanisms are primarily mediated through pathogen inhibition, decreased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, upregulation of growth factors (bFGF, TGF- $\beta$ 1), stimulation of angiogenesis, and maintenance of skin and gut microbiome homeostasis [18–45].

In infected or diabetic animal models, probiotic administration was associated with reduced microbial load, prevention of sepsis, decreased scarring, and improved tissue mechanical strength [21,24,29,40]. The incorporation of probiotics into hydrogels, bioactive dressings, or nanocomposites enhanced microbial stability and viability, thereby potentiating their reparative effects [20,22,37,39]. These findings underscore the importance of innovative delivery systems and advanced drug delivery technologies in optimizing probiotic efficacy.

Clinical studies involving both pediatric and adult patients with moderate to severe burns demonstrated that oral or topical probiotics can reduce graft loss, shorten hospitalization, attenuate systemic inflammation, enhance mucosal immune responses (IgA, SIgA), and lower infection incidence [27,30,31,36,38,42]. These results suggest that probiotics not only accelerate wound healing but also serve as a safe, low-risk adjunctive therapy for improving immune function and mitigating complications associated with burn injuries [30,31].

Furthermore, systematic and case reviews indicate that probiotics can restore microbiome balance and activate anti-inflammatory pathways, including IL-10 and Th1 signaling, thereby reducing infection-related mortality and preventing hypertrophic scar formation [41]. Topical application or combination with hydrogels and bioactive dressings may further enhance wound repair, highlighting the complementary role of probiotics in therapeutic strategies [19,23].

Despite these promising findings, heterogeneity across studies in terms of probiotic strains, dosages, routes of administration, and study design poses limitations. Consequently, well-designed randomized clinical trials with adequate sample sizes are necessary to determine the optimal strain, dose, and administration route for maximal therapeutic benefit [26,31].

The therapeutic potential of probiotics in burn wound management is further reinforced by mechanistic evidence demonstrating their ability to promote skin repair and modulate

the gut microbiome. Collectively, the integration of preclinical and clinical data supports the use of probiotics as a safe and effective adjunctive intervention to accelerate burn wound repair, reduce infection, modulate immune responses, and improve the quality of regenerated tissue [18–49]. These findings provide valuable guidance for developing innovative and clinically applicable strategies for burn wound management, reinforcing the complementary role of probiotics.

## Conclusion

Preclinical and clinical evidence supports probiotic therapy as a safe and effective strategy for accelerating burn wound healing. Probiotics facilitate wound repair by modulating inflammatory responses, promoting epidermal regeneration, enhancing fibroblast proliferation, and inhibiting pathogenic microorganisms. Combining probiotics with bioactive dressings, hydrogels, or nanocomposites further amplifies their reparative effects while reducing the risk of infection and scarring. Oral or topical administration of probiotics in burn patients not only accelerates wound healing but also enhances mucosal immunity and shortens hospitalization. Nevertheless, determining the optimal probiotic strain, dosage, and administration route requires rigorously designed randomized clinical trials.

## Statements and Declarations

### Funding support

The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

### Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

### Ethics approval

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

## References

1. Lee KC, Joory K, Moiemmen NS. History of burns: the past, present and the future. *Burns & trauma*. 2014 Oct;2(4):2321-3868.
2. Greenhalgh DG. Management of burns. *New England journal of medicine*. 2019 Jun 13;380(24):2349-59.

3. Kara YA. Burn etiology and pathogenesis. *Hot Topics in Burn Injuries*. 2018 May 23;17(1):10-5772.
4. Morrow SE, Smith DL, Cairns BA, Howell PD, Nakayama DK, Peterson HD. Etiology and outcome of pediatric burns. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 1996 Mar 1;31(3):329-33.
5. Nielson CB, Duethman NC, Howard JM, Moncure M, Wood JG. Burns: pathophysiology of systemic complications and current management. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*. 2017 Jan 1;38(1):e469-81.
6. Hettiaratchy S, Dziejewski P. Pathophysiology and types of burns. *Bmj*. 2004 Jun 10;328(7453):1427-9.
7. Kaddoura I, Abu-Sittah G, Ibrahim A, Karamanoukian R, Papazian N. Burn injury: review of pathophysiology and therapeutic modalities in major burns. *Annals of burns and Fire Disasters*. 2017 Jun 30;30(2):95.
8. Jewo PI, Fadeyibi IO. Progress in burns research: a review of advances in burn pathophysiology. *Annals of burns and fire disasters*. 2015 Jun 30;28(2):105.
9. Rojas Y, Finnerty CC, Radhakrishnan RS, Herndon DN. Burns: an update on current pharmacotherapy. *Expert opinion on pharmacotherapy*. 2012 Dec 1;13(17):2485-94.
10. Murphy KD, Lee JO, Herndon DN. Current pharmacotherapy for the treatment of severe burns. *Expert opinion on pharmacotherapy*. 2003 Mar 1;4(3):369-84.
11. Artz CP, Larson DL. Treatment of burns. *Current problems in surgery*. 1965 Mar 1;2(3):1-40.
12. Ellis SR, Nguyen M, Vaughn AR, Notay M, Burney WA, Sandhu S, Sivamani RK. The skin and gut microbiome and its role in common dermatologic conditions. *Microorganisms*. 2019 Nov;7(11):550.
13. Salem I, Ramser A, Isham N, Ghannoum MA. The gut microbiome as a major regulator of the gut-skin axis. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2018 Jul 10;9:382698.
14. Williams NT. Probiotics. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*. 2010 Mar 15;67(6):449-58.
15. Gupta V, Garg R. Probiotics. *Indian journal of medical microbiology*. 2009 Jul 1;27(3):202-9.
16. Isolauri E, Salminen S, Ouwehand AC. Probiotics. *Best practice & research Clinical gastroenterology*. 2004 Apr 1;18(2):299-313.
17. Dunne C, Murphy L, Flynn S, O'mahony L, O'halloran S, Feeney M, Morrissey D, Thornton G, Fitzgerald G, Daly C, Kiely B. Probiotics: from myth to reality. Demonstration of functionality in animal models of disease and in human clinical trials. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*. 1999 Nov;76(1):279-92.
18. Arshad T, Mundrathi V, Perez VE, Nunez JM, Cho H. Topical probiotic hydrogels for burn wound healing. *Gels*. 2024 Aug 23;10(9):545.
19. Knackstedt R, Knackstedt T, Gatherwright J. The role of topical probiotics on wound healing: A review of animal and human studies. *International Wound Journal*. 2020 Dec;17(6):1687-94.
20. Oryan A, Jalili M, Kamali A, Nikahval B. The concurrent use of probiotic microorganism and collagen hydrogel/scaffold enhances burn wound healing: An in vivo evaluation. *Burns*. 2018 Nov 1;44(7):1775-86.
21. Farahani FH, Moraffah F, Samadi N, Sharifzadeh M, Motasadzadeh H, Vatanara A. Improved infectious burn wound healing by applying lyophilized particles containing probiotics and prebiotics. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2023 Apr 5;636:122800.
22. Pirouzzadeh M, Moraffah F, Samadi N, Sharifzadeh M, Motasadzadeh H, Vatanara A. Enhancement of burn wound healing using optimized bioactive probiotic-loaded alginate films. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 2025 Apr 1;301:140454.
23. Teymouri S, Pourhajibagher M, Bahador A. The relationship between the skin microbiome and probiotics in the healing of burn injuries. *Folia Microbiologica*. 2025 Jun;70(3):535-44.
24. Argenta A, Satish L, Gallo P, Liu F, Kathju S. Local application of probiotic bacteria prophylaxes against sepsis and death resulting from burn wound infection. *PloS one*. 2016 Oct 25;11(10):e0165294.
25. Barzegari AA, Hashemzaei M, Majdani R, Alihemmati AR. Effects of topical treatment of second-degree burn wounds with *Lactobacillus acidophilus* on the wound healing process in male rats. *Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Research*. 2017 Sep 10;3(3):23-30.
26. Ambrose L, Dinu CA, Gurau G, Maftai NM, Matei MN, Hincu MA, Radu M, Mehedinti MC. The role of probiotics in healing burns and skin Wounds; an integrative approach in the context of regenerative medicine. *Life*. 2025 Sep 12;15(9):1434.
27. Tahir SM, Makhdoom A, Awan S, Ali SA. Role of probiotics in the management of burns patients. *World J Med Sci*. 2014 Nov 19;11(3):417-21.
28. Satish L, Gallo PH, Johnson S, Yates CC, Kathju S. Local probiotic therapy with *Lactobacillus plantarum* mitigates scar formation in rabbits after burn injury and infection. *Surgical infections*. 2017 Feb 1;18(2):119-27.
29. Moghadam SS, Mohammad N, Ghooshchian M, FathiZadeh S, Khodaii Z, Faramarzi M, Aghmiyuni ZF, Roudbari M, Pazouki A, Shabestari TM. Comparison of the effects of *Lactobacillus plantarum* versus imipenem on infected burn wound healing. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*. 2020 Aug 8;34:94.
30. Mayes T, Gottschlich MM, James LE, Allgeier C, Weitz J, Kagan RJ. Clinical safety and efficacy of probiotic administration following burn injury. *Journal of burn care & research*. 2015 Jan 1;36(1):92-9.
31. Hassan A, Javed S, Siyyam M, Hamza A, Farooq S, Muazam F. Effect of probiotic supplementation on infection and sepsis in burn patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Plastic Surgery*. 2025 Dec 13;48(1):114.
32. Afifa NN, Lestari W. Effects of probiotics supplementation on reducing inflammation in burn patients. *World Nutrition Journal*. 2025 Aug 29;9(i1):1-8.
33. Oryan A, Alemzadeh E, Eskandari MH. Kefir accelerates burn wound healing through inducing fibroblast cell migration in vitro and modulating the expression of IL-1ss, TGF-ss1, and bFGF genes in vivo. *Probiotics and antimicrobial proteins*. 2019 Sep 15;11(3):874-86.
34. Moraffah F, Kiani M, Abdollahi M, Yoosefi S, Vatanara A, Samadi N. In vitro-in vivo correlation for the antibacterial effect of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* as a topical healer for infected burn wound. *Probiotics and antimicrobial proteins*. 2022 Aug;14(4):675-89.

35. El-Ghazely MH, Mahmoud WH, Atia MA, Eldip EM. Effect of probiotic administration in the therapy of pediatric thermal burn. *Annals of burns and fire disasters*. 2016 Dec 31;29(4):268.
36. Moghadam SS, Momeni M, Atabaki SM, Shabestari TM, Boustanshenas M, Afshar M, Roham M. Topical treatment of second-degree burn wounds with *Lactobacillus plantarum* supernatant: Phase I Trial. *Iranian Journal of Pathology*. 2022 Sep 4;17(4):460.
37. Khan MA, Hussain Z, Ali S, Qamar Z, Imran M, Hafeez FY. Fabrication of electrospun probiotic functionalized nanocomposite scaffolds for infection control and dermal burn healing in a mice model. *ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering*. 2019 Sep 12;5(11):6109-16.
38. Perdanakusuma DS, Hariani L, Nasser NF, Datusanantyo RA. The effect of a single-strain probiotic administration in the treatment of thermal burns patients. *Iranian journal of microbiology*. 2019 Jun;11(3):255.
39. Moraffah F, Samadi N, Abdollahi M, Ostad SN, Dolatabadi R, Pirouzzadeh M, Vatanara A. Advancing burn wound healing with an innovative in situ gelling probiotic microparticle formulation employing quality by design (QbD) principles. *Journal of Tissue Viability*. 2025 May 1;34(2):100860.
40. Salaran M, Oryan A, Nikahval B, Kamali A, Ghaemi M, Abbasi TF, Azizzadeh M. Topical application of *Lactobacillus plantarum* on burn wound healing in diabetic rats.
41. Hajjalibabaei R, Sayeli FG, Aghadavood E, Poudineh M, Khaledi A, Banneshin K. The beneficial role of probiotics and gut microbiota in signaling pathways, immunity, apoptosis, autophagy, and intestinal barrier for effective wound healing post-burn injury. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 2025 Sep 1;206:107816.
42. Bandari NM, Mobayen M, Abootaleb M. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Probiotic Spray in Healing Burn Wounds: A Clinical Trial in Iran.
43. Barzegari AA, Hashemzaei M, ALIHEMMATI AR, Soltani S, Naseri B. Effects of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (ATCC 7469) ointment on second-degree burn wound in Wistar rat.
44. Abootaleb M, Bandari NM, Soleimani NA. Interference of *Lactobacillus casei* with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in the treatment of infected burns in Wistar rats. *Iranian journal of basic medical sciences*. 2021 Feb;24(2):143.
45. Soleymanzadeh MS, Mousavi ST, Heidari F, RASOULI KS, Afshar M, Omranian R, NOBAKHT M. Macroscopic and Microscopic Survey of the Comparative Effects of *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v, Its Supernatant, and Imipenem on Infectious Burn Wound Healing in Rats.
46. Kazemzadeh J, Yousefiazar A, Zahedi A. Amniotic membrane dressing versus nitrofurazone-impregnated dressing in the treatment of second-degree burn wounds: a randomized clinical trial. *Wounds*. 2022;34(1):11–16.
47. Jazani NH, Zahedi A, Garebagi N. Phenotypic detection of metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from Urmia hospitals. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*. 2012;6(7):1387–1392.
48. Vaghardoost R, Zahedi A, Abbaszadeh A. Long-term durability of tip projection and rotation with strut columella and septal extension graft in rhinoplasty: a 6-month and 1-year follow-up. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*. 2023:1–8.
49. Bădăluță VA, Curuțiu C, Dițu LM, Holban AM, Lazăr V. Probiotics in wound healing. *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2024 Jan;25(11):5723.