

Plant Biotechnology Persa



Online ISSN: 2676-7414

Homepage: https://pbp.medilam.ac.ir

The association of gene polymorphisms related to inherited thrombophilia with an increased risk of recurrent pregnancy loss

Hourieh Khani¹, Mohammad Ali Hosseinpour Feizi², Jafar Mohseni³, Mehdi Haghi⁴

Reza Safaralizadeh⁵

¹Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: hourikhani@gmail.com

^{*}Corresponding Author: Mohammad Ali Hosseinpour Feizi Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: safaralizadeh@tabrizu.ac.ir

| Article Info | Abstract |
|--------------|-----------|
| Article Info | Abstract |
| | TIDDUTUCE |

Article type: Original Article Background Accumulating evidence suggests that inherited thrombophilia may be implicated in the occurrence of recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) via adversely affecting the placental vascular function. We aimed to investigate the possible association of MTHFR (rs1801133 and rs1801131), FV rs6025, FII rs1799963 and PAI-1 rs1799889 polymorphisms with increased risk of RPL.

Material and methods A total of 320 women with a history of at least two consecutive miscarriages and

Article History: Received: 15 Aug 2022 Received in revised form: 17 Nov 2022 Accepted: 1 Dec 2022 Published online: 23 Dec

100 healthy controls were included in the study. Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) technique was used for genotyping of the studied single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Using logistic regression analysis, odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated to determine the association between the SNPs and RPL, under co-dominant,

dominant and recessive inheritance models.

2022

Keywords:

MTHFR C677T, MTHFR A1298C, FV G1691A, FII G20210A, PAI-1 -675 4G/5G, Recurrent pregnancy

was related to a high risk of RPL in co-dominant, dominant and recessive inheritance models. MTHFR rs1801133 T and PAI-1 rs1799889 4G alleles were found to be significantly more prevalent in RPL patients compared to healthy controls (P < 0.05).

Conclusion These data provide strong evidence that rs1801133 and rs1799889 SNPs were significantly associated with increased risk of RPL, suggesting their potential role in RPL pathogenesis.

Results The results showed that rs1801133 (OR: 2.158; 95% CI: 1.310-3.553; P = 0.002) was significantly

associated with increased risk of RPL, under dominant inheritance model. We also found that rs1799889

Introduction

Recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) is unfortunately one of the most common reproductive health issues, affecting 1-5% of women aiming to conceive worldwide [1]. Currently, cases with two or more consecutive failed clinical pregnancies

confirmed by ultrasonography or histopathological examinations are termed as RPL by the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) [2, 3]. RPL is an aetiologically heterogeneous and multifactorial disorder

Plant Biotechnology Persa 2022; 4(2): 103-112.



© The Author(s).

Publisher: Ilam University of Medical Sciences How to cite: Khani H, Hosseinpour Feizi MA, Mohseni J, Haghi M, Safaralizadeh R. The association of gene polymorphisms related to inherited thrombophilia with an increased risk of recurrent pregnancy loss. Plant Biotechnology Persa 2022; 4(2): 103-112.

DOI: 10.52547/pbp.4.2.8

¹Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: pourfeizi@eastp.ir

³Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Center, Eastern Azerbaijan Branch of Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research (ACECR), Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: jmohseni46@gmail.com

⁴Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: pourfeizi@eastp.ir

⁵Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: mehdihaghi@tabrizu.ac.ir

involving a combination of multiple genetic and lifestyle/environmental factors [4, 5]. Chromosomal abnormalities, uterine anomalies, metabolic and hormonal disorders, immune dysfunction and genital infections have now been implicated in the etiopathogenesis of RPL [5, 6]. However, the cause of disease still remains shrouded in mystery in almost 50% of cases [5].

Pregnancy is a hypercoagulable state, a physiological strategy that has likely evolved to prevent excessive hemorrhage during and after the delivery [7]. It is therefore unsurprising that women with an increased tendency towards thrombosis due to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in one or more genes have a higher risk for RPL. Hyperhomocysteinemia (HHcy), an elevated plasma level of homocysteine (> 15 µmol/L), has been highlighted by previous studies in association with several pregnancyrelated complications, especially RPL [8, 9]. It is now documented that HHcy leads to endothelial layer lesions, reducing vessels flexibility and altering haemostasis process, which in turn can induce thrombosis [10]. HHcy may be due to dietary deficiencies of vitamin B6, vitamin B12 and/or folate, and inherited enzymatic defects within the methionine/homocysteine pathway such as C677T (rs1801133) and A1298C (rs1801131) SNPs in the N5, N10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene [11-13]. Coagulation Factor V (FV), also known as labile factor or proaccelerin, plays a dual role in both procoagulant and anticoagulant pathways, acting as a crucial non-enzymatic cofactor [14]. Thereupon, the quantitative imbalance and functional defects of FV can result in either hemorrhage or intravascular thrombosis [15, 16]. The FV G1691A (rs6025) SNP, known as FV Leiden, is the most extensively studied genetic defect leading to hypercoagulability due to poor anticoagulant response to activated protein C (APC) [17]. Prothrombin (coagulation factor II), a multidomain vitamin K-dependent glycoprotein, is the precursor of thrombin, which converts the soluble fibringen into insoluble fibrin to form a fibrin network [18]. The FII G20210A (rs1799963) SNP has been found to be associated with elevated levels of plasma prothrombin (hyperprothrombinemia), which in turn lead to hypercoagulability and thrombotic risk [19]. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), also known as SERPINE1, plays a key role in controlling fibrinolysis by inhibiting the tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) and urokinase (uPA) [20]. The PAI-1 -675 4G/5G insertion/deletion (I/D) (rs1799889) SNP directly modulates SERPINE1 gene expression, and the presence of 4G allele enhances transcription to increase plasma PAI-1 levels, which leads to reduced fibrinolysis and increased tendency to thrombosis [21].

To date, numerous studies have investigated the association of rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs with RPL, but results are conflicting and unconvincing [22-30]. Accordingly, this study was designed to address the question of whether rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs can underlie inherited susceptibility to RPL.

Materials and methods Study participants

In the present case-control study, three hundred and twenty women with two or more consecutive clinicallyrecognized pregnancy losses and without a history of live births (mean age ± standard deviation (SD); 32.43 ± 5.43 years, range 19 - 47) (mean number of miscarriages ± SD; 2.47 ± 0.92, range 2 - 6) were recruited from Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Research Center, Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research (ACECR), Tabriz, Iran, during 2016-2021. To rule out other possible underlying causes of RPL, subjects with chromosomal abnormalities, uterine anomalies, autoimmune diseases, imbalances and TORCH infections were excluded from the study. The patients' demographic and clinical data, including age, number of miscarriages, preterm births, stillbirths and history of infertility treatment were collected from medical records. Moreover, one hundred ethnicity-matched healthy women with a history of one or more successful pregnancies and no personal and family history of miscarriage (mean age \pm SD; 32.75 \pm 6.39 years, range 21 - 44) were enrolled as controls. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board /Independent Ethics Committee (IRB/IEC) of the University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and written informed consent was obtained from all participants after receiving an explanation of the study.

DQR: 20.1001.1.26767414.2022.4.2.9.6]

DNA extraction and genotyping of studied **SNPs**

Peripheral blood (~5 mL) was drawn from all subjects into a vacutainer containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and then the genomic DNA was extracted from each sample using the salting-out method described by Miller et al. [31]. The quality and quantity of the extracted DNA was determined by measuring the absorbance at 260, 280, and 230 nm using NanoDrop® ND-1000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific™, Waltham, MA, USA). We used polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method for genotyping rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs. PCR reactions were performed on an Applied Biosystems™ Thermal Cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in a final volume of 25 µL containing 12.5 µl Taq DNA Polymerase 2X Master Mix Red (Ampliqon, Denmark), 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol) (Table 1), 100 ng extracted genomic DNA and pure water. The thermal cycling protocol consisted of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 minutes, then 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 40 seconds, annealing at temperature of each primer pair (Table 1) for 40 seconds and extension at 72°C for 40 seconds, followed by a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 minutes. PCR products were electrophoretically separated on 2% agarose gel containing safe DNA gel stain and visualized under ultraviolet (UV) light. To detect rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs, PCR products were digested by overnight incubation at 37 °C with Hinfl, MboII, Mnll, HindIII and Bsll restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA) (Table 1), respectively. To identify the genotypes, digested DNA fragments (Table 1) were separated by electrophoresis on polyacrylamide gel stained with silver nitrate.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA) and $P \le 0.05$ was regarded statistically significant. Departure from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) for rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs was calculated using Chi-square test (https://gene-calc.pl/hardy-weinberg-page). We used chi-square test to determine the association between studied SNPs and RPL. Under the co-dominant, dominant and recessive inheritance models, the association of rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs with risk of RPL was estimated by calculating the odds ratio (OR) and its corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) using logistic regression analysis.

Results

Distribution of genotype and allele frequencies for rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs in patients with RPL and healthy controls are shown in Table 2. The results indicated that except for rs1799889 SNP (P < 0.05), other four SNPs were in accordance with the HWE in the healthy controls (P > 0.05) (Table 2). In patients with RPL, the genotype distributions of rs1801133 and rs1799963 SNPs were in agreement with HWE (P > 0.05), whereas others were not (P < 0.05) (Table 2). We found that the frequencies of rs1801133 and rs1799889 SNPs were significantly higher among RPL patients compared to healthy controls (P < 0.05) (Table 2). There were no statistically significant differences between patients with RPL and healthy controls regarding genotype distributions and allele frequencies for rs1801131, rs6025 and rs1799963 SNPs (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

Table 1. List of primers and restriction enzymes used in PCR-RFLP assays for genotyping of the studied thrombophilic gene polymorphisms

| Ť. | SNP | Primer sequence | Annealing | Amplicon | Restriction | Fragment |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | | temperature | size (bp) | enzyme | length (bp) |
| | | | (°C) | | | |
| Ĺ | rs1801133 | 5'-TGAAGGAGAAGGTGTCTGCGGGA-3' | 61 | 198 | HinfI | C allele: 198 |
| 2.3 2.3 | | 5'-AGGACGGTGCGGTGAGAGTG-3' | | | | T allele: 173, 25 |
| bp.4 | rs1801131 | 5'-CTTTGGGGAGCTGAAGGACTACTAC- | 59 | 163 | MboII | A allele: 56, 49, |
| 47/p | | 3' | | | | 30, 28 |
| $\frac{1.525}{1}$ | | 5'-CACTTTGTGACCATTCCGGTTTG-3' | | | | C allele: 84, 49, |
| Ť | | | | | | 30 |

| _ |
|----------|
| ∞ |
| \sim |
| 4 |
| ğ |
| bp |
| = |
| 2 |
| <u> </u> |
| ₹. |
| \simeq |
| |

| rs6025 | 5'-CATACTACAGTGACGTGGAC-3' | 54 | 206 | MnlI | G allele: 122, 47, |
|-----------|------------------------------|----|--------|---------|--------------------|
| | | | | | 37 |
| | 5'-TGTTCTCTTGAAGGAAATGC-3' | | | | A allele: 159, 47 |
| rs1799963 | 5'-TCTAGAAACAGTTGCCTGGC-3' | 58 | 345 | HindIII | G allele: 345 |
| | 5'-ATAGCACTGGGAGCATTGAAGC-3' | | | | A allele: 322, 23 |
| rs1799889 | 5'-CACAGAGAGAGTCTGGCCACGT-3' | 60 | 99, 98 | BslI | 5G allele: 77, 22 |
| | 5'-CCAACAGAGGACTCTTGGTCT-3' | | | | 4G allele: 98 |

Abbreviation: PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

Table 3: Genotype and allele distribution of studied thrombophilic gene polymorphisms in women with recurrent pregnancy loss and

| SNP | Genotype | Cases (n=320) n (%) | Controls (n=100) n (%) | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| rs1801133 | | | (/) | | 0.009 |
| Co-dominant | CC | 182 (56.87) | 74 (74.00) | 1.000 | - |
| | CT | 115 (35.94) | 22 (22.00) | 2.125 (1.250 - 3.611) | 0.006 |
| | TT | 23 (7.19) | 4 (4.00) | 2.337 (0.781 - 6.993) | 0.172 |
| Dominant | (CC vs. CT+TT) | - | - | 2.158 (1.310 - 3.553) | 0.002 |
| Recessive | (CC+CT vs. TT) | - | - | 1.858 (0.627 - 5.508) | 0.351 |
| T allele | | 21.15 | 15.00 | 1.904 (1.242 - 2.919) | 0.003 |
| HWE P value | | 0.716 | 0.389 | - | - |
| rs1801131 | | | | | 0.601 |
| Co-dominant | AA | 187 (58.44) | 64 (64.00) | 1.000 | - |
| | AC | 102 (31.87) | 27 (27.00) | 1.292 (0.776 - 2.154) | 0.374 |
| | CC | 31 (9.69) | 9 (9.00) | 1.178 (0.532 - 2.609) | 0.703 |
| Dominant | (AA vs. AC+CC) | - | - | 1.264 (0.794 - 2.012) | 0.351 |
| Recessive | (AA+AC vs. CC) | - | - | 1.084 (0.494 - 2.362) | 1.000 |
| C allele | | 25.62 | 22.50 | 1.186 (0.814 - 1.729) | 0.401 |
| HWE P value | | 0.013 | 0.078 | - | - |
| rs6025 | | | | | 0.714 |
| Co-dominant | GG | 313 (97.82) | 99 (99.00) | 1.000 | - |

| | | GA | 6 (1.87) | 1 (1.00) | 1.897 (0.225 | - | 0.691 |
|----|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|---|--------|
| | | | | | 15.95) | | |
| | | AA | 1 (0.31) | 0 (0.00) | ND | | ND |
| | Dominant | (GG vs. | - | - | 2.214 (0.269 | - | 0.686 |
| | | GA+AA) | | | 18.21) | | |
| | Recessive | (GG+GA vs. | - | - | ND | | ND |
| | | AA) | | | | | |
| | A allele | | 1.25 | 0.50 | 2.519 (0.313 | - | 0.471 |
| | | | | | 20.26) | | |
| | HWE P value | | 0.0001 | 0.998 | - | | - |
| | rs1799963 | | | | | | 0.526 |
| | Co-dominant | GG | 315 (98.43) | 98 (98.00) | 1.000 | | - |
| | | GA | 5 (1.57) | 2 (2.00) | 0.778 (0.148 | - | 1.000 |
| | | | | | 4.072) | | |
| | | AA | 0 (0.00) | 0 (0.00) | ND | | ND |
| | Dominant | (GG vs. | - | - | 0.778 (0.148 | - | 1.000 |
| | | GA+AA) | | | 4.072) | | |
| | Recessive | (GG+GA vs. | - | - | ND | | ND |
| | | AA) | | | | | |
| | A allele | | 0.78 | 1.00 | 0.779 (0.150 | - | 1.000 |
| | | | | | 4.049) | | |
| | HWE P value | | 0.991 | 0.994 | - | | - |
| | rs1799889 | | | | | | 0.0001 |
| | Co-dominant | 5G5G | 132 (41.25) | 74 (74.00) | 1.000 | | - |
| | | 5G4G | 126 (39.38) | 18 (18.00) | 3.924 (2.219 | - | 0.0001 |
| | | | | | 6.939) | | |
| | | 4G4G | 62 (19.37) | 8 (8.00) | 4.344 (1.973 | - | 0.0001 |
| | | | | | 9.567) | | |
| | Dominant | (5G5G vs. | - | - | 4.053 (2.460 | - | 0.0001 |
| | | 5G4G+4G4G) | | | 6.678) | | |
| | Recessive | (5G5G+5G4G | - | - | 2.763 (1.274 | - | 0.0083 |
| | | vs. 4G4G) | | | 5.991) | | |
| | 4G allele | | 39.06 | 17.00 | 3.129 (2.094 | - | 0.0001 |
| | | | | | 4.676) | | |
| | HWE P value | | 0.008 | 0.001 | - | | - |
| Щ. | | | | | | | |

Abbreviation: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; ND, not determined.

P value were calculated by Chi-square test.

Bold values indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05).

The association of rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs with susceptibility to RPL under three inheritance models (codominant, dominant and recessive) were assessed by logistic regression analysis. Under codominant inheritance model, CT genotype for rs1801133

SNP revealed a significant association with RPL occurrence (OR: 2.125; 95% CI: 1.250-3.611; P=0.006) (Table 2). The rs1801133 SNP was also found significantly associated with risk of RPL in dominant inheritance model (OR: 2.158; 95% CI: 1.310-3.553; P=0.002) (Table 2). As regards rs1801131, rs6025 and rs1799963 SNPs, no significant association was

found between genotypic distributions and RPL in any inheritance models (P > 0.05) (Table 2). The results showed that rs1799889 SNP was significantly associated with increased risk of RPL under co-dominant, dominant and recessive inheritance models (P < 0.05) (Table 2). The allele frequency distribution for studied SNPs was compared between two groups (Table 2). There were no significant differences between RPL patients and healthy controls regarding the allele frequencies of the rs1801131, rs6025 and rs1799963 SNPs (P > 0.05) (Table 2). Our findings indicated that the rs1801133 T allele (OR: 1.904; 95% CI: 1.242-2.919; P = 0.003) and rs1799889 4G allele (OR: 3.129; 95% CI: 2.094-4.676; P = 0.0001) were significantly associated with susceptibility to RPL (Table 2).

Discussion

Inherited thrombophilia has now been accepted as one of the undisputed causes of RPL; however, the association of specific thrombophilic gene polymorphisms with increased susceptibility to recurrent miscarriage still remains controversial [22-30]. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the possible association between rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs and occurrence of RPL.

We found a statistically significant association between the rs1801133 SNP and risk of RPL under dominant inheritance model (OR: 2.158; 95% CI: 1.310-3.553; P = 0.002). In the co-dominant inheritance model, the rs1801133 CT genotype was significantly associated with RPL susceptibility (OR: 2.125; 95% CI: 1.250-3.611; P = 0.006). According to our results, MTHFR rs1801133 T allele can be considered as a genetic risk factor for RPL (OR: 1.904; 95% CI: 1.242-2.919; P = 0.003). In line with our findings, Ahangari et al. reported that MTHFR rs1801133 SNP had a statistically significant association with RPL risk [30]. In the study conducted by Goodman et al. on 550 couples with a history of RPL, they found that MTHFR rs1801133 SNP could predispose the women to RPL [26]. A similar result was obtained in a study (Bigdeli et al. 2018) where the association of MTHFR rs1801133 SNP with increased risk of RPL were investigated on 200 RPL patients and 200 healthy women [32]. In 2012, Nair et al. reported that MTHFR rs1801133 SNP is associated with occurrence of RPL in the Indian population [33]. A meta-analysis performed by Cao et al. in 2013 involving 3559 RPL cases and 5097 healthy controls,

demonstrated that MTHFR rs1801133 SNP was significantly associated with RPL susceptibility [34]. These data lead us to conclude that MTHFR rs1801133 SNP which results in reduced enzyme activity and elevated levels of homocysteine can be considered an important risk factor for RPL. However, other studies have not demonstrated any association between this polymorphism and RPL [27, 35, 36]. As regards MTHFR rs1801131 SNP, no statistically significant association was detected under all inheritance models (co-dominant, dominant and recessive) (P > 0.05). We also failed to find any significant association between MTHFR rs1801131 C allele and higher risk of RPL occurrence (OR: 1.186; 95% CI: 0.814-1.729; P = 0.401). These results reflect those of Yousefian et al. who showed that MTHFR rs1801131 SNP is not involved in RPL pathogenesis [35]. Similarly, Poursadegh Zonouzi et al. revealed that MTHFR rs1801131 SNP did not have any influence on an individual's susceptibility to RPL [36]. Goodman et al.'s study from the United States showed no significant differences between RPL patients and healthy subjects regarding the genotype distribution and allele frequency of MTHFR rs1801131 SNP [26]. A meta-analysis conducted by Cao et al. demonstrated that there is no evidence to support the association of MTHFR rs1801131 SNP with RPL risk [34]. In contrast, two meta-analysis studies have found a statistically significant association between MTHFR rs1801131 and RPL [37, 38]. The results showed that two SNPs, FV rs6025 and FII rs1799963, could not contribute to RPL susceptibility in our population (P > 0.05). We found that the prevalence of FV rs6025 A and FII rs1799963 A alleles is extremely low in both groups ($\leq 1.25\%$). Our results are in agreement with previous studies reporting no significant associations between FV rs6025 and FII rs1799963 SNPs with risk of RPL [23, 32, 39, 40, 41]. Conversely, several studies have shown that these SNPs may be related with RPL [42-49]. In 2002, Finan et al. reported that FV rs6025 and FII rs1799963 SNPs are major inherited risk factor associated with RPL [42]. Another study in Egyptian population found a statistically significant association between FV rs6025 and FII rs1799963 SNPs and increased risk of RPL [43]. Previous studies have also demonstrated the relation of FV rs6025 polymorphism, but not FII rs1799963, with RPL [44, 45]. Findings from a meta-analysis showing a significant association between FV rs6025 SNP and RPL susceptibility [46]. Also, two studies suggest that FII rs1799963 SNP, but

not FV rs6025, is a genetic contributing factor in increasing the risk of RPL [47, 48]. A meta-analysis also revealed a strong association between the FII rs1799963 SNP and RPL [49]. Finally, we found that PAI-1 4G/5G rs1799889 SNP was significantly related to increased susceptibility to RPL under all implanted inheritance models (P < 0.05). The PAI-1 4G allele was also detected significantly more frequently in cases than in healthy controls (P < 0.05), which is consistent with results obtained in previous studies. Bigdeli et al. demonstrated that there was a significant association between PAI-1 4G/5G rs1799889 SNP and RPL risk [32]. Another study conducted in Czech Republic revealed that polymorphism in the PAI-1 gene (rs1799889) can contribute as a risk factor for RPL [50]. When Khosravi et al. carried out a case-control study on 421 patients with RPL and 100 healthy subjects, they found PAI-1 4G/5G rs1799889 SNP is associated with susceptibility to RPL [51]. Similar results were also reported by Magdoud et al. [52] and Ozdemir et al. [53]. A recent meta-analysis also suggested that PAI-1 4G/5G rs1799889 SNP significantly increased RPL risk in Iranian population [54]. However, several studies have failed to find any association between PAI-1 4G/5G rs1799889 SNP and susceptibility to RPL [23, 28, 55]. It is difficult to reach consensus on relation of rs1801133, rs1801131, rs6025, rs1799963 and rs1799889 SNPs with RPL due to inconsistency in the results of studies. Although the reason for these discrepancies is unclear, it can be explained in part by ethnic/racial disparities, differences in sample size and multifactorial nature of disease. These data highlight the need for large-scale studies in diverse populations on different genetic variants to find any conclusive evidence of RPL causes.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study suggests that MTHFR rs1801133 and PAI-1 4G/5G rs1799889 SNPs may be associated with increased risk of RPL in our population. MTHFR rs1801133 T and PAI-1 4G allele are associated with a significantly increased risk of RPL.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to express their sincerest appreciation to all subjects for participating in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board /Independent Ethics Committee (IRB/IEC) of the Tabriz University, Tabriz, Iran.

Informed consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after receiving an explanation of the study.

References

- Christiansen OB, Kolte AM, Krog MC, et al. Treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin in patients with recurrent pregnancy loss: An update. J Reprod Immunol, 2019; 133: 37-42. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.2000.02321.x.
- Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine Evaluation and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. Fertil Steril 2012; 98(5): 1103-1111. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.06.048.
- Bender Atik R, Christiansen OB, Elson J, et al. ESHRE
 Guideline Group on RPL. ESHRE guideline: recurrent
 pregnancy loss. *Human Reproduction Open*, Volume
 2018; Issue 2: 2018, hoy004,
 doi.org/10.1093/hropen/hoy004
- Dimitriadis E, Menkhorst E, Saito S, et al. Recurrent pregnancy loss. Nature Reviews Disease Primers December 2020; 6(1): 98. doi: 10.1038/s41572-020-00228-z.
- El Hachem H, Crepaux V, May-Panloup P, et al. Recurrent pregnancy loss: current perspectives. Int J Womens Health 2017; 9: 331-345. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S100817.
- 6. Page JM, Silver RM. Genetic Causes of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2016; 59(3): 498-508. doi: 10.1097/GRF.000000000000217.
- Ho VT, Dua A, Lavingia K, et al. Thrombolysis for Venous Thromboembolism During Pregnancy: A Literature Review. Vascular and endovascular Surg 2018; 52(7), 527-534. doi: 10.1177/1538574418777822.

- 8. Dai C, Fei Y, Li J, et al. A Novel Review of Homocysteine and Pregnancy Complications. Biomed Res Int 2021; 6652231. doi.org/10.1155/2021/6652231
- Levin BL, Varga E. MTHFR: Addressing Genetic Counseling Dilemmas Using Evidence-Based Literature. J Genet Couns 2016; 25(5): 901-911. doi: 10.1007/s10897-016-9956-7.
- Ganguly P, Alam SF. Role of homocysteine in the development of cardiovascular disease. Nutr J 2015; 14:
 doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-14-6
- 11. Matthews RG, Elmore CL. Defects in homocysteine metabolism: diversity among hyperhomocyst(e)inemias. Clin Chem Lab Med 2007; 45(12): 1700-1703. doi: 10.1515/CCLM.2007.324
- Zaric BL, Obradovic M, Bajic V, et al. Homocysteine and Hyperhomocysteinaemia. Current Medicinal Chemistry 2019; 26(16): 2948-2961. doi: 10.2174/0929867325666180313105949.
- Brustolin S, Giugliani R, Felix TM. Genetics of homocysteine metabolism and associated disorders.
 Braz J Med Biol Res 2010; 43(1): 1-7. doi: 10.1590/s0100-879x2009007500021.
- 14. Dahlbäck B. Pro- and anticoagulant properties of factor V in pathogenesis of thrombosis and bleeding disorders. Int J Lab Hematol 2016; 38(1): 4-11. doi: 10.1111/ijlh.12508.
- 15. Vos HL. Inherited defects of coagulation Factor V: the thrombotic side. J Thromb Haemost 2006; 4(1): 3540. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2005.01572.x.
- Asselta R, Tenchini ML, Duga S. Inherited defects of coagulation factor V: the hemorrhagic side. J Thromb Haemost 2006; 4(1): 26-34. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2005.01590.x.
- 17. Kujovich JL. Factor V Leiden thrombophilia. Genet Med 2011; 13(1): 1-16. doi: 10.1097/GIM.0b013e3181faa0f2.
- Adams TE, Huntington JA. Structural transitions during prothrombin activation: On the importance of fragment 2. Biochimie 2016; 122: 235-242.doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2015.09.013
- 19. Zöller B, Svensson PJ, Dahlbäck B, et al. Genetic risk factors for venous thromboembolism. Expert Rev Hematol 2020; 13(9): 971-981. doi: 10.1080/17474086.2020.1804354.

- 20. Sillen M, Declerck PJ. A Narrative Review on Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 and Its (Patho)Physiological Role: To Target or Not to Target?. Int J Mol Sci 2021; 22(5): 2721. doi: 10.3390/ijms22052721.
- 21. Seguí R, Estellés A, Mira Y, et al. PAI-1 promoter 4G/5G genotype as an additional risk factor for venous thrombosis in subjects with genetic thrombophilic defects. Br J Haematol 2000; 111(1): 122-128. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.2000.02321.x.
- 22. Barut MU, Bozkurt M, Kahraman M, et al. Thrombophilia and Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: The Enigma Continues. Med Sci Monit 2018; 24: 4288-4294. doi: 10.12659/MSM.908832.
- 23. Poursadegh Zonouzi A, Chaparzadeh N, Ghorbian S, et al. The association between thrombophilic gene mutations and recurrent pregnancy loss. J Assist Reprod Genet 2013; 30(10): 1353-1359. doi:10.1007/s10815-013-0071-5
- 24. Yenicesu GI, Cetin M, Ozdemir O, et al. A prospective case-control study analyzes 12 thrombophilic gene mutations in Turkish couples with recurrent pregnancy loss. Am J Reprod Immunol 2010; 63(2): 126-136. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0897.2009.00770.x.
- 25. Farahmand K, Totonchi M, Hashemi M, et al. Thrombophilic genes alterations as risk factor for recurrent pregnancy loss. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2016; 29(8): 1269-1273. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2015.1044431.
- Goodman CS, Coulam CB, Jeyendran RS, et al. Which thrombophilic gene mutations are risk factors for recurrent pregnancy loss?. Am J Reprod Immunol 2006; 56(4): 230-236. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0897.2006.00419.x.
- 27. Gonçalves RO, Fraga LR, Santos WV et al. Association between the thrombophilic polymorphisms MTHFR C677T, Factor V Leiden, and prothrombin G20210A and recurrent miscarriage in Brazilian women. Genet Mol Res 2016; 15(3): 10.4238/gmr.15038156. doi: 10.4238/gmr.15038156.
- 28. Coulam CB, Jeyendran RS, Fishel LA et al. Multiple thrombophilic gene mutations rather than specific gene mutations are risk factors for recurrent

- miscarriage. Am J Reprod Immunol 2006; 55(5): 360-368. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0897.2006.00376.x.
- 29. Chatzidimitriou M, Chatzidimitriou D, Mavridou M, et al Thrombophilic gene polymorphisms and recurrent pregnancy loss in Greek women. Int J Lab Hematol 2017; 39(6): 590-595. doi: 10.1111/ijlh.12703. Epub 2017 Jun 12.
- 30. Ahangari N, Doosti M, Mousavifar N et al. Hereditary thrombophilia genetic variants in recurrent pregnancy loss. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2019; 300(3): 777-782. doi: 10.1007/s00404-019-05224-7.
- 31. Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res 1988; 16(3): 1215.doi:10.1093/nar/16.3.1215
- 32. Bigdeli R, Younesi MR, Panahnejad E et al. Association between thrombophilia gene polymorphisms and recurrent pregnancy loss risk in the Iranian population. Syst Biol Reprod Med 2018; 64(4): 274-282. doi: 10.1080/19396368.2018.1456576.
- 33. Nair RR, Khanna A, Singh K. MTHFR C677T polymorphism and recurrent early pregnancy loss risk in north Indian population. Reprod Sci 2012; 19(2): 210-215. doi: 10.1177/1933719111417888. Epub 2011 Dec 2.
- 34. Cao Y, Xu J, Zhang Z. Association study between methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms and unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss: a meta-analysis. Gene 2013; 514(2): 105-111. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2012.10.091.
- MT, 35. Yousefian E. Kardi Allahveisi Α. Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase C677T and A1298C Polymorphism in Iranian Women with Idiopathic Recurrent Pregnancy Losses. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2014; 16(7): e16763. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.16763. Epub 2014 Jul 5.
- 36. Poursadegh Zonouzi A, Chaparzadeh N, Asghari Estiar M et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase C677T and A1298C Mutations in Women with Recurrent Spontaneous Abortions in the Northwest of Iran. ISRN Obstet Gynecol 2012; 945486. doi: 10.5402/2012/945486. Epub 2012 Nov 14.
- 37. Yang Y, Luo Y, Yuan J et al. Association between maternal, fetal and paternal MTHFR gene C677T and

- A1298C polymorphisms and risk of recurrent pregnancy loss: a comprehensive evaluation. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2016; 293(6): 1197-1211. doi: 10.1007/s00404-015-3944-2.
- 38. Mehta P, Vishvkarma R, Singh K et al. MTHFR 1298A>C Substitution is a Strong Candidate for Analysis in Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: Evidence from 14,289 Subjects. Reprod Sci 2022; 29(4): 1039-1053. doi: 10.1007/s43032-021-00530-5.
- Cardona H, Castañeda SA, Cardona Maya W et al. Lack of Association between Recurrent Pregnancy Loss and Inherited Thrombophilia in a Group of Colombian Patients. Thrombosis 2012; 367823. doi: 10.1155/2012/367823
- Sotiriadis A, Vartholomatos G, Pavlou M et al. Combined thrombophilic mutations in women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage. Am J Reprod Immunol 2007; 57(2): 133-141. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0897.2006.00454.x.
- 41. Mierla D, Szmal C, Neagos D. Association of Prothrombin (A20210G) and Factor V Leiden (A506G) with Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. Maedica 2012; 7(3): 222-226.
 - https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3566 885/pdf/maed-07-222.pdf
- 42. Finan RR, Tamim H, Ameen G et al. Prevalence of factor V G1691A (factor V-Leiden) and prothrombin G20210A gene mutations in a recurrent miscarriage population. Am J Hematol 2002; 71(4): 300-305. doi: 10.1002/ajh.10223.
- 43. Mohamed MA, El Moaty MA, El Kholy AF et al. Thrombophilic gene mutations in women with repeated spontaneous miscarriage. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 2010; 14(5): 593-597. doi: 10.1089/gtmb.2010.0052.
- 44. Mahjoub T, Mtiraoui N, Tamim H et al. Association between adverse pregnancy outcomes and maternal factor V G1691A (Leiden) and prothrombin G20210A genotypes in women with a history of recurrent idiopathic miscarriages. Am J Hematol 2005; 80(1):12-19. doi.org/10.1002/ajh.20419
- 45. Mtiraoui N, Borgi L, Hizem S et al. Prevalence of antiphospholipid antibodies, factor V G1691A (Leiden) and prothrombin G20210A mutations in early

- and late recurrent pregnancy loss. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2005; 119(2): 164-170. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2004.07.003.
- 46. Eslami MM, Khalili M, Soufizomorrod M et al. Factor V Leiden 1691G > A mutation and the risk of recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL): systematic review and meta-analysis. Thromb J 2020; 18: 11. doi.org/10.1186/s12959-020-00224-z
- 47. Kardi MT, Yousefian E, Allahveisi A et al. Association of Factor V Leiden and Prothrombin G20210A Polymorphisms in Women with Recurrent Pregnancy Loss in Isfahan Province, Iran. Int J Prev Med 2018; 9: 13. doi: 10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_240_16
- 48. Bagheri M, Rad IA, Nanbakhsh F. Factor V Leiden G1691A and factor II G20210A point mutations and pregnancy in North-West of Iran. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2011; 284(5): 1311-1315. doi: 10.1007/s00404-011-2008-5.
- 49. Gao H, Tao FB. Prothrombin G20210A mutation is associated with recurrent pregnancy loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis update. Thromb Res 2015; 135(2): 339-346. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2014.12.001.
- 50. Subrt I, Ulcova-Gallova Z, Cerna M et al. Recurrent pregnancy loss, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (-675) 4G/5G polymorphism and antiphospholipid antibodies in Czech women. Am J Reprod Immunol, 2013; 70(1): 54-58. doi: 10.1111/aji.12099.
- 51. Khosravi F, Zarei S, Ahmadvand N et al. Association between plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 gene mutation and different subgroups of recurrent miscarriage and implantation failure. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2014; 31(1): 121-124. doi: 10.1007/s10815-013-0125-8.
- 52. Magdoud K, Herbepin VG, Touraine R et al. Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 4G/5G and -844G/A variants in idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss. Am J Reprod Immunol 2013; 70(3): 246-252. doi.org/10.1111/aji.12116
- 53. Ozdemir O, Yenicesu GI, Silan F et al. Recurrent pregnancy loss and its relation to combined parental thrombophilic gene mutations. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers, 2012; 16(4): 279-286. doi: 10.1089/gtmb.2011.0191.

- 54. Dastgheib SA, Karimi-Zarchi M, Bahrami R et al. A meta-analysis of the association of the ACE I/D and PAI-1 4G/5G polymorphisms with recurrent pregnancy loss in Iranian women: Are the investigations adequate?. Turk J Obstet Gynecol 2021; 18(2): 139-150. doi: 10.4274/tjod.galenos.2021.58997
- 55. Kim JJ, Choi YM, Lee SK et al. The PAI-1 4G/5G and ACE I/D polymorphisms and risk of recurrent pregnancy loss: a case-control study. Am J Reprod Immunol 2014; 72(6): 571-576. doi: 10.1111/aji.12302.