Ilam University of Medical Sciences

Plant Biotechnology Persa

Online ISSN: 2676-7414

Homepage: https://pbp.medilam.ac.ir

Probiotics and Their Therapeutic Applications in Dental Plaque Management: An Overview of Preclinical and Clinical Evidence

Ghazal Soleimani[1], **Aisan Ghaznavi 2**✉

[1] Department of Periodontics, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
[2] Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, School of Dentistry, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

Article Info

Article type:
Review Article

Article History:
Received: 07 Jun 2026
Revised: 10 Aug 2026
Accepted: 11 May 2026
Published Online:

✉ ***Correspondence to:***
Aisan Ghaznavi

Email:
taherienator@gmail.com

A B S T R A C T

Objective: Dental plaque is a complex microbial biofilm recognized as a major etiological factor in the development of dental caries, gingivitis, and periodontal diseases. Probiotics have recently gained attention as a promising preventive and therapeutic strategy due to their ability to modulate the oral microbiome, inhibit pathogenic microorganisms, and interfere with biofilm formation. This review aimed to identify and evaluate probiotic strains with potential applications in the prevention and management of dental plaque.

Methods: In this narrative review, relevant studies published between 2010 and 2026 were retrieved from major scientific databases, including PubMed, Scopus, SID, ISC, and Google Scholar. Eligible studies were selected based on their relevance to the preventive and therapeutic roles of probiotics in controlling dental plaque formation and maintaining oral microbial homeostasis.

Results: The reviewed evidence suggests that probiotics may contribute to dental plaque management by reducing biofilm formation and limiting microbial colonization on tooth surfaces. Several probiotic strains, particularly those belonging to the genera Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, have demonstrated the ability to inhibit oral pathogens and promote a more balanced microbial environment within the oral cavity. Clinical studies have reported improvements in plaque indices and gingival inflammatory parameters following probiotic supplementation in selected populations. However, the effectiveness of probiotics appears to depend on strain specificity, dosage, treatment duration, and formulation characteristics. Preclinical investigations have further elucidated potential mechanisms of action, including inhibition of bacterial adhesion, disruption of biofilm maturation, production of antimicrobial compounds, and competitive exclusion of pathogenic species. Nevertheless, substantial heterogeneity among studies has contributed to inconsistent findings regarding the overall efficacy of probiotics. Collectively, current evidence indicates that probiotics may represent a promising complementary approach for the prevention and control of dental plaque.

Conclusion: By modulating the oral microbial ecosystem and suppressing pathogenic bacteria, probiotics may serve as an effective adjunctive strategy for preventing dental plaque accumulation and improving oral health outcomes. Considering the variability among probiotic strains and differences in clinical responses, further well-designed randomized clinical trials are warranted to determine optimal strains, dosages, treatment durations, and suitable probiotic formulations.

Keywords: Oral cavity, Dental health, Oral diseases, Probiotics, Oral microbiota, Modern therapeutic approaches

➢ **How to cite this paper**
Soleimani GH, Ghaznavi A. Probiotics and Their Therapeutic Applications in Dental Plaque Management: An Overview of Preclinical and Clinical Evidence. Plant Biotechnology Persa. 2026; 8(3): Proof.

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

© The Author(s)

DOI:
Publisher: Ilam University of Medical Sciences

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22] [Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

Introduction

Oral and dental diseases and disorders are among the most common health problems [1-3] and may be associated with conditions such as dental caries, periodontal diseases, microbial infections, and oral mucosal disorders. In addition to causing pain and impairing chewing and speech, these conditions can adversely affect overall health and quality of life if left untreated.

Dental plaque is recognized as one of the most important contributing factors in the initiation and progression of oral diseases [2]. It is a complex, highly organized microbial biofilm that develops on tooth surfaces and adjacent oral tissues [2,3]. Dental plaque comprises diverse bacterial communities embedded within an extracellular polymeric matrix and continuously interacts with the surrounding oral environment and host immune responses [3,4]. The accumulation and maturation of this biofilm can disrupt oral microbial homeostasis, facilitating the colonization and proliferation of pathogenic microorganisms [4,5].

The development and progression of dental plaque are influenced by multiple factors, including the composition of the oral microbiome, salivary properties, tooth surface characteristics, dietary patterns, and oral hygiene practices [4,5]. Frequent intake of fermentable carbohydrates, inadequate oral hygiene, xerostomia, tobacco consumption, dental malocclusion, and the presence of orthodontic appliances may further accelerate plaque accumulation. Under these conditions, alterations in microbial composition and the establishment of oral dysbiosis play critical roles in disease initiation and progression [5,6]. From a pathophysiological perspective, dental plaque contributes to the development of dental caries through bacterial fermentation and acid production, resulting in the establishment of an acidic microenvironment [7]. The reduction in local pH promotes enamel demineralization and facilitates the progression of carious lesions [8]. Similarly, in gingival and periodontal diseases, plaque accumulation stimulates host inflammatory responses. Persistent inflammation may subsequently lead to periodontal tissue destruction, alveolar bone resorption, tooth mobility, and ultimately tooth loss [8].

Uncontrolled dental plaque accumulation is associated with numerous oral complications, including dental caries, gingivitis, gingival bleeding, halitosis, calculus formation, and periodontal diseases [9]. Therefore, effective plaque control is considered a cornerstone of preventive dentistry and plays an essential role in preserving oral health [10].

Current strategies for dental plaque management primarily rely on mechanical approaches, including tooth brushing, flossing, and professional dental cleaning, along with chemical control methods such as antimicrobial mouth rinses [11]. Chlorhexidine remains one of the most widely used and effective chemical agents for inhibiting plaque formation and controlling gingival inflammation [12]. However, long-term chlorhexidine use may cause several adverse effects, including tooth discoloration, taste disturbances, increased calculus accumulation, and irritation of oral mucosal tissues. These limitations have stimulated growing interest in alternative, safe, and biologically based preventive approaches [13].

Probiotics have emerged as a promising strategy for oral health promotion due to their potential capacity to modulate the oral microbiome, compete with pathogenic microorganisms, inhibit bacterial adhesion, and interfere with biofilm formation [14]. Several probiotic strains, particularly those belonging to the genera *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, have demonstrated beneficial effects in both preclinical and clinical studies [15]. However, probiotic efficacy is highly dependent on various factors, including strain-specific characteristics, dosage, administration period, delivery formulation, and host-related factors [14,15].

Considering the variability and inconsistent outcomes reported among previous investigations, a comprehensive assessment of available preclinical and clinical evidence is necessary to clarify the therapeutic potential of probiotics in

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

dental plaque management. Therefore, this review aimed to evaluate the mechanisms of action, effective probiotic strains, and therapeutic applications of probiotics for the prevention and control of dental plaque

Methods

This narrative review was undertaken to identify, evaluate, and synthesize the available evidence on the role of probiotics in the prevention and management of dental plaque. A literature search was conducted across major international databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, and the Cochrane Library, as well as Persian databases, including SID and Magiran, covering publications from January 2010 to December 2025.

The search strategy incorporated a combination of free-text keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms relevant to the research topic. The principal search terms included *probiotics, probiotic, dental plaque, dental biofilm, oral biofilm, oral microbiota, oral microbiome, dental caries, gingivitis, periodontal disease, Lactobacillus,* and *Bifidobacterium*. These terms were combined using the Boolean operators AND and OR to broaden and refine the retrieval of relevant literature.

Preclinical, in vitro, animal, and clinical studies investigating the effects of probiotics on dental plaque or biofilm formation, microbial burden, gingival inflammation, or other indicators of oral health were considered for inclusion. Studies that did not address the objectives of the review were excluded, as were duplicate publications, case reports, letters to the editor, conference abstracts, studies for which the full text was unavailable, and articles providing insufficient information on the probiotic intervention or relevant outcomes.

Following the initial search, duplicate records were identified and removed. The retrieved articles were then screened based on their titles and abstracts, followed by full-text assessment of potentially relevant studies. Studies meeting the eligibility criteria and providing relevant evidence on the role of probiotics in dental plaque management were subsequently included in the qualitative synthesis.

Data extracted from the selected studies included the first author, publication year, country, study design, study population or experimental model, probiotic species and strains, dosage and route of administration, intervention duration, comparator or control group, plaque and biofilm assessment methods, microbiological and clinical outcomes, and reported adverse effects. Given the narrative nature of the review and the substantial heterogeneity among the included studies with respect to probiotic strains, administration protocols, study populations, experimental models, and outcome measures, the findings were synthesized qualitatively and compared descriptively. Particular emphasis was placed on identifying probiotic strains with potential efficacy, elucidating their proposed mechanisms of action, and critically evaluating the preclinical and clinical evidence supporting the use of probiotics as a complementary strategy for the prevention and management of dental plaque.

Results

The available evidence suggests that probiotics, particularly selected strains of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, may contribute to the reduction of dental plaque accumulation and gingival inflammation through mechanisms such as inhibition of bacterial adhesion and interference with biofilm formation. However, the magnitude and consistency of these effects varied considerably according to the probiotic strain, dosage, duration of administration, and formulation. Overall, probiotics show promising potential as an adjunctive strategy for dental plaque control and the promotion of oral health. Nevertheless, further well-designed and adequately powered clinical trials are warranted to establish their efficacy and determine the optimal probiotic strains, dosages, treatment durations, and formulations.

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Findings from in vitro studies indicated that probiotics, particularly selected strains of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, may inhibit the growth of oral pathogens and reduce bacterial adhesion and dental biofilm formation. The magnitude of these effects varied considerably among probiotic strains and appeared to be associated with strain-specific antimicrobial properties and interactions with the oral microbial environment (Table 1).

Table 1. In Vitro and Laboratory Studies Evaluating the Effects of Probiotics on Dental Plaque

Reference	Study design	Intervention	Primary outcome	Key findings
Zambori et al., 2016	In vitro	*L. casei* DG; LA-5 + BB-12	Plaque-associated bacteria	*L. casei* DG demonstrated greater bactericidal activity against plaque-associated bacteria than the other probiotic preparations evaluated [16].
Keller & Twetman, 2012	In vitro	*L. reuteri* DSM 17938; *L. plantarum* 299v	Plaque acidogenicity	Acid production varied in a strain-dependent manner; probiotic exposure did not increase plaque acidogenicity [17].
Tahmourespour & Kermanshahi, 2011	In vitro	*L. acidophilus* DSM 20079	Streptococcal adhesion	Probiotic exposure reduced bacterial adhesion, particularly among *mutans streptococci [18]*.
Choksket et al., 2023	In vitro	Four *Lactobacillus* strains	Lactic acid production, pH, and biofilm formation	Considerable strain-dependent differences were observed in probiotic characteristics, including effects on lactic acid production, pH, and biofilm formation [19].

Animal studies suggest that probiotics may reduce *Streptococcus mutans* colonization, inhibit dental biofilm formation, decrease extracellular polymeric substance production, and modulate the microbial composition of dental plaque. Collectively, these findings provide preclinical evidence supporting the potential role of probiotics in controlling dental plaque accumulation and caries-associated processes (Table 2).

[Downloaded from pbp.mediam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Table 2. Animal and In Vivo Studies Evaluating the Effects of Probiotics on Dental Plaque and Caries

Reference	Study design / Model	Intervention	Primary outcome	Key findings
Zhang et al., 2024	In vitro and rat model	Four-strain *Lactobacillus* mixture	*S. mutans*, biofilm formation, and dental caries	The intervention inhibited bacterial growth and biofilm formation and reduced *S. mutans* levels [20].
Guo et al., 2023	Animal and laboratory models	*L. paracasei* ET-22	Dental caries and biofilm formation	The intervention inhibited biofilm and extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) production and modulated the microbial composition of dental plaque [21].
Sadighnia et al., 2023	Canine model	Dextranase + *E. faecium*	Bacterial levels and *hmuY* expression	The combined intervention reduced bacterial levels and *hmuY* expression [22].
Campus et al., 2025	In vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo models	*L. brevis* CD2	Sugar fermentation and plaque acidification	The intervention reduced sugar fermentation and plaque acidification [23].

Randomized clinical trials have generally indicated that probiotic interventions may reduce dental plaque accumulation, gingival inflammation, and the levels of selected pathogenic oral bacteria. However, the magnitude and consistency of these effects varied considerably across studies and appeared to depend on several factors, including probiotic strain, dosage, duration of administration, and product formulation (Table 3).

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Table 3. Randomized Controlled Trials Evaluating the Effects of Probiotics on Dental Plaque and Oral Health

Reference	Study design / Population	Intervention	Primary outcome	Key findings
Alhallak et al., 2022	RCT	Probiotic mouthwash	Plaque index (PI)	Greater reductions in plaque accumulation were observed on days 14 and 30 [24].
Alanzi et al., 2018	RCT; adolescents	LGG + BB-12	Gingival index (GI) and periodontal pathogens	GI scores and levels of selected periodontal pathogens were reduced [25].
Karuppaiah et al., 2013	RCT; children	Probiotic yogurt	PI and GI	PI was significantly reduced, whereas the improvement in GI was not statistically significant [26].
Dadgar et al., 2021	RCT	*L. plantarum* versus fluoride	*S. mutans*	*L. plantarum* did not produce a significant reduction in *S. mutans*, whereas fluoride demonstrated a significant effect [27].
Ratna Sudha et al., 2020	Double-blind RCT	*B. coagulans* Unique IS2	*Mutans streptococci* and *Lactobacillus* spp.	Both bacterial groups were reduced following probiotic administration [28].
Jose et al., 2013	RCT / clinical study	Probiotic yogurt and probiotic toothpaste	*S. mutans*	Both interventions demonstrated beneficial effects against *S. mutans [29]*.
Shah et al., 2019	RCT; orthodontic patients	Probiotic mouthwash and chlorhexidine	PI and GI	Both interventions reduced PI, with effects observed following treatment [30].
Yousuf et al., 2017	Randomized, double-blind study	Probiotic powder	PI and GI	Significant reductions were observed in both PI and GI scores [31].

[Downloaded from pbp.mediam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Janiani & Ravindran, 2022	RCT; children	Yakult and enKor-D	*S. mutans* and PI	Both interventions reduced *S. mutans* levels; however, only Yakult significantly reduced PI [32].
Zhou et al., 2025	Double-blind RCT	Probiotic mouthwash	BOP, PI, GI, and cytokines	Probiotic use was associated with reductions in clinical and inflammatory parameters [33].
Badri et al., 2021	Controlled study; children	Probiotic and chlorhexidine	PI, pH, and *S. mutans*	PI and *S. mutans* levels were reduced following the interventions [34].
Nisha et al., 2023	RCT; orthodontic patients	Aloe vera, probiotic, and fluoride	*S. mutans*	No statistically significant differences were observed among the intervention groups [35].
Kandaswamy et al., 2018	RCT; children	Probiotic, chlorhexidine, and sesame oil	PI and GI	All three interventions produced significant reductions in PI and GI [36].
Babina et al., 2024	RCT	*S. salivarius* M18	BOP and plaque	Reductions in plaque accumulation and bleeding on probing were observed [37].

Findings from clinical and interventional studies suggest that probiotic products may improve selected oral health outcomes, including dental plaque accumulation, gingival inflammation, and the composition of the oral microbiome. However, the magnitude and consistency of these effects varied across studies, likely reflecting differences in probiotic strains, formulations, intervention protocols, and study populations (Table 4).

[Downloaded from pbp.mediam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Table 4. Clinical and Interventional Studies Evaluating Probiotics in Dental Plaque and Oral Health

Reference	Study design	Intervention	Primary outcome	Key findings
Hu et al., 2019	Interventional study	*L. casei* Shirota	Plaque microbiota	Changes in the relative abundance of selected bacterial genera were observed following the intervention [38].
Li et al., 2024	Clinical study	Toothpaste containing *L. paracasei* Probio-01	BOP, GI, and PI	Reductions in clinical indices were observed following the intervention [39].
Lewiyonah et al., 2025	Experimental clinical study	*L. reuteri*	PI and salivary pH	PI decreased, whereas salivary pH increased following the intervention [40].
Shenoy et al., 2017	Randomized clinical study	*B. coagulans*	GI, BOP, and PI	Reductions in GI and BOP were observed following probiotic administration [41].
Samsuri et al.	Clinical study	Probiotic intervention for 2 weeks	PI	PI was lower in the probiotic group than in the control group [42].
Ingle et al., 2013	Clinical trial	Probiotic mouthwash and chlorhexidine	PI, GI, and *mutans streptococci* (MS)	Both interventions demonstrated beneficial effects on the evaluated clinical and microbiological outcomes [43].

Comparative studies have indicated that the efficacy of probiotics in controlling dental plaque may vary relative to established agents such as chlorhexidine and fluoride. In some studies, probiotics demonstrated effects comparable to those of conventional interventions, whereas in others, they showed limited or differential efficacy. The probiotic strain and mode of administration appeared to be important determinants of treatment outcomes, underscoring the strain- and formulation-specific nature of probiotic interventions (Table 5).

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Table 5. Comparative and Other Interventional Studies Evaluating Probiotics for Dental Plaque Control

Reference	Study design / Model	Intervention	Primary outcome	Key findings
Patil et al., 2019	In vivo	Probiotic milk and fluoride	*S. mutans* and PI	Comparable effects were reported for the two interventions [44].
Manoharan et al., 2020	RCT; schoolchildren	Homemade probiotic yogurt	Plaque-associated microorganisms	Bacterial levels decreased; however, the reduction in plaque accumulation was not statistically significant [45].
Mishra et al., 2014	RCT; children	Herbal, probiotic, and chlorhexidine interventions	*S. viridans* and PI	The probiotic intervention demonstrated the least pronounced effects among the treatment groups [46].
Sharma et al., 2019	Comparative study; children	Probiotic and chlorhexidine	PI and gingival inflammation	The probiotic intervention demonstrated greater improvements in the evaluated outcomes than chlorhexidine [47].
Baxi et al., 2023	Comparative study; orthodontic patients	Probiotic tablet, powder, and beverage	*S. mutans*	The greatest reduction in *S. mutans* levels was observed with the tablet formulation [48].
Bianco et al., 2021	Retrospective study	Ruterin Os® and Carioblis®	PI and GI	Both probiotic supplements were associated with improvements in the evaluated indices [49].
Nilchian et al., 2024	RCT	*S. salivarius* M18 and K12	PI	An increase in PI was observed following the intervention [50].
Caglar, 2014	Clinical study; children	Yogurt containing *B. bifidum*	*Mutans streptococci*	No statistically significant difference was observed between the intervention and control groups [51].

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Secondary evidence suggests that probiotics may serve as adjunctive agents in dental plaque management by modulating the oral microbiome, inhibiting cariogenic microorganisms, and interfering with biofilm formation. However, the available evidence remains heterogeneous, with substantial variation in probiotic strains, formulations, intervention protocols, and outcome measures. Further rigorously designed and standardized clinical studies are therefore needed to clarify the clinical efficacy of probiotics and determine the most appropriate strains, formulations, dosages, and treatment protocols (Table 6).

Table 6. Review Studies and Secondary Evidence on the Role of Probiotics in Dental Caries and Plaque Control

Reference	Study design	Topic	Primary outcome	Conclusion
Sivamaruthi et al., 2020	Review	Probiotics and dental caries	Anticariogenic mechanisms	Probiotics may influence dental caries through multiple potential mechanisms, including modulation of the oral microbiome and inhibition of cariogenic microorganisms [52].
Shi et al., 2023	Systematic review and meta-analysis; 43 RCTs	Probiotics and dental caries in children	Dental caries, *S. mutans*, and *Lactobacillus* spp.	Probiotic interventions were associated with reductions in dental caries and *S. mutans* levels, although considerable heterogeneity was reported across the included trials [53].
Marttinen et al., 2012	Short-term clinical study	*L. rhamnosus* GG and *L. reuteri*	Plaque acidogenicity and *mutans streptococci* (MS)	No statistically significant changes were observed in plaque acidogenicity or *mutans streptococci* levels following probiotic administration [54].

Taken together, the studies summarized in Tables 1–6 indicate that probiotics have promising potential for the prevention and control of dental plaque through several complementary mechanisms, including modulation of the oral microbiome, inhibition of pathogenic bacterial growth, reduction of microbial adhesion, and interference with biofilm formation. In vitro studies have provided mechanistic evidence Clinical trials further suggest that selected probiotic strains may reduce plaque accumulation, gingival inflammation, and the levels of specific oral pathogens. However, these effects have not been consistently demonstrated across all studies. Substantial heterogeneity in probiotic supporting these effects, including the production of antimicrobial compounds and inhibition of cariogenic bacterial activity, whereas animal models have provided additional evidence of reduced biofilm formation and modulation of the plaque-associated microbial ecosystem.

[Downloaded from pbp.mediam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

strains, dosages, intervention durations, delivery systems, study populations, and outcome measures may explain, at least in part, the variability in reported findings.

Overall, the available evidence supports the potential use of probiotics primarily as a complementary biological strategy alongside established plaque-control measures, including mechanical oral hygiene and appropriate chemical adjuncts, rather than as a replacement for conventional preventive and therapeutic approaches. Future research should focus on elucidating strain-specific effects, standardizing probiotic formulations and treatment protocols, and establishing their long-term clinical efficacy and safety for dental plaque management.

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Discussion

The findings of laboratory studies suggest that probiotics, particularly strains of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, may inhibit the growth of bacteria associated with dental caries and periodontal disease through several complementary mechanisms, including the production of antimicrobial compounds, competition for adhesion sites on dental surfaces, reduction of bacterial attachment, and interference with biofilm formation. Nevertheless, the marked differences observed among probiotic strains indicate that their antimicrobial activity is largely strain specific and cannot be generalized across probiotic species or formulations [16–19].

Evidence from animal models further supports the potential of probiotics to reduce the microbial burden of dental plaque, inhibit extracellular matrix production, decrease *Streptococcus mutans* levels, and modify the microbial composition of plaque. These findings suggest that, beyond direct antibacterial activity, probiotics may influence the ecological characteristics of the oral environment, thereby creating conditions that are less favourable for the proliferation of cariogenic microorganisms [20–23].

Evidence from randomized controlled trials indicates that probiotic administration may improve several clinical and microbiological parameters of oral health, including plaque index (PI), gingival index (GI), bleeding on probing (BOP), and the abundance of cariogenic or periodontal pathogens such as *S. mutans*. However, the magnitude and consistency of these effects varied considerably among studies. Differences in probiotic strains, dosage, intervention duration, delivery systems, and study populations are likely to contribute to the observed heterogeneity [24–37].

Non-randomized clinical studies have likewise reported potentially beneficial effects of probiotics on gingival health, plaque-associated microbial communities, salivary pH, and clinical oral-health indices. Although these findings provide further support for the adjunctive use of probiotics, their interpretation should take into account the methodological limitations inherent in non-randomized designs and the variability in individual responses. Accordingly, probiotics may be considered a complementary strategy alongside established plaque-control measures rather than a substitute for conventional oral-hygiene practices [38–43].

Comparative studies have yielded mixed findings regarding the efficacy of probiotics relative to established antimicrobial agents such as chlorhexidine and fluoride. In some clinical settings, probiotic interventions produced effects comparable to, or potentially greater than, those observed with conventional agents. However, other studies failed to demonstrate a significant advantage of probiotics. These inconsistencies reinforce the importance of strain selection, formulation, dosage, and route of administration in determining clinical effectiveness [44–51].

Secondary evidence, including systematic reviews and other review-based studies, provides additional support for the potential role of probiotics in dental plaque and caries management. Their proposed benefits include modulation of the oral microbiota, reduction of *S. mutans*, inhibition of biofilm development, and interference with cariogenic processes. Nevertheless, considerable heterogeneity across the primary studies, together with the limited availability of long-term clinical data, prevents definitive conclusions regarding their sustained clinical effectiveness. Larger, well-designed, and methodologically standardized clinical trials are therefore warranted [52–54].

The potential effects of probiotics on dental plaque are mediated through multiple biological pathways. These include competition with pathogenic microorganisms for adhesion sites on dental surfaces; production of antimicrobial substances, such as bacteriocins, organic acids, and hydrogen peroxide; and inhibition of biofilm development. Probiotics may also contribute to ecological homeostasis within the oral cavity by modifying the composition of the oral microbiota, reducing the adhesion and persistence of *S. mutans* and other cariogenic bacteria, and potentially influencing host inflammatory responses. Through these interconnected mechanisms, probiotics may represent a promising biological adjunct for limiting plaque accumulation, reducing caries-associated microbial activity, and supporting gingival health (55).

Conclusion

Probiotics represent a promising complementary biological strategy for the prevention and control of dental plaque through modulation of the oral microbiome, inhibition of pathogenic microorganisms, and interference with biofilm formation. Evidence from in vitro and animal studies suggests that selected strains of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* may reduce bacterial adhesion, suppress *Streptococcus mutans*, and modulate the microbial ecology of dental plaque. Clinical studies have also reported improvements in plaque accumulation, gingival inflammation, and selected microbiological parameters following probiotic administration.

However, the clinical evidence remains heterogeneous, largely owing to differences in probiotic strains, dosages, intervention durations, delivery systems, and study

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

populations. Therefore, probiotics should currently be considered primarily as a complementary approach alongside established plaque-control strategies, including mechanical oral hygiene and appropriate chemical adjuncts, rather than as a replacement for conventional preventive measures.

Future research should focus on identifying effective strain-specific interventions, establishing standardized dosing and treatment protocols, and conducting adequately powered, long-term clinical trials to determine the durability, clinical relevance, and safety of probiotic-based interventions. Overall, probiotics may have an important future role in dental plaque management and oral-health promotion through targeted modulation of the oral microbiome.

Statements and Declarations

Funding support

The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Ethics approval

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Author contributions

GHS and AGH: Conceptualization, the original draft writing, investigation, writing including reviewing and editing and investigation and formal analysis; AGH: Conceptualization, supervision, and project administration; GHS Conceptualization, the original draft writing, investigation, writing including reviewing and editing

References

1. Payahoo S, Ghaznavi A. Herbal treatments for dental cysts: insights from traditional Iranian medicine and evidence from animal and clinical models: a review. Revista Brasileira de Higiene e Sanidade Animal. 2026;20(1):1.
2. Ghaznavi A, Lysiuk R. Anti-toothache medicinal plants used in ethnobotanical knowledge. Plant Biotechnology Persa. 2024;6(2):103-107. http://pbp.medilam.ac.ir/article-1-234-en.html.
3. Heydarlou MJ, Ghaznavi A. Medicinal plants for aphthous ulcers: therapeutic effects and evidence from animal, preclinical, and clinical studies: a review. Revista Brasileira de Higiene e Sanidade Animal. 2026;20(1):1-28.
4. Rosan B, Lamont RJ. Dental plaque formation. Microbes Infect. 2000;2(13):1599-1607.
5. Scheie AA. Mechanisms of dental plaque formation. Adv Dent Res. 1994;8(2):246-253.
6. Bernimoulin JP. Recent concepts in plaque formation. J Clin Periodontol. 2003;30:7-9.
7. Conrads G. About I. Pathophysiology of dental caries. Monogr Oral Sci. 2018;27:1-10.
8. Touyz LZ. The pathophysiology of oral biofilms and its relation to initial gum disease and caries. J Dent Oral Disord Ther. 2017;5(3):1-6.
9. Shay K. Infectious complications of dental and periodontal diseases in the elderly population. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;34(9):1215-1223.
10. Tandelilin RT, Saini R. Dental plaque: a biofilm and challenges in oral health. PT Kanisius; 2023.
11. Vyas T, Bhatt G, Gaur A, Sharma C, Sharma A, Nagi R. Chemical plaque control—a brief review. J Family Med Prim Care. 2021;10(4):1562.
12. Löe H. Mechanical and chemical control of dental plaque. J Clin Periodontol. 1979;6(7):32.
13. Williams NT. Probiotics. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2010;67(6):449-458.
14. Gupta V, Garg R. Probiotics. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2009;27(3):202-209.
15. Isolauri E, Salminen S, Ouwehand AC. Probiotics. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2004;18(2):299-313.
16. Zambori C, Morvay AA, Sala C, Licker M, Gurban C, Tanasie G, et al. Antimicrobial effect of probiotics on bacterial species from dental plaque. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2016;10(3):214-221.
17. Keller MK, Twetman S. Acid production in dental plaque after exposure to probiotic bacteria. BMC Oral Health. 2012;12:44.
18. Tahmourespour A, Kermanshahi RK. The effect of a probiotic strain (Lactobacillus acidophilus) on the plaque formation of oral Streptococci. Bosn J Basic Med Sci. 2011;11(1):37.
19. Choksket S, Sharma S, Harshvardhan, Pal V, Jain A, Patil PB, et al. Evaluation of human dental plaque lactic acid bacilli for probiotic potential and functional analysis in relevance to oral health. Indian J Microbiol. 2023;63(4):520-532.
20. Zhang J, Wang Q, Duan Z. Preventive effects of probiotics on dental caries in vitro and in vivo. BMC Oral Health. 2024;24(1):915.
21. Guo M, Wu J, Hung W, Sun Z, Zhao W, Lan H, et al. Lactobacillus paracasei ET-22 suppresses dental caries by regulating microbiota of dental plaques and inhibiting biofilm formation. Nutrients. 2023;15(15):3316.
22. Sadighnia N, Arfaee F, Tavakoli A, Jahandideh A. Dextranase enzyme and Enterococcus faecium probiotic have anti-biofilm effects by reducing the count of bacteria in dental plaque in the oral cavity of dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2023;261(10):1525-1530.
23. Campus G, Cagetti MG, Lehrkinder A, Alshabeeb A, Caimoni N, Lingström P. The probiotic effects of

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

Lactobacillus brevis CD2 on caries related variables of dental plaque biofilm. Int Dent J. 2025;75(3):1662-1671.
24. Alhallak E, Kouchaje C, Hasan A, Makieh R. Evaluation of the effectiveness of probiotic mouthwashes in reducing dental plaque in primary and permanent teeth: a randomized clinical trial. Cureus. 2022;14(8):e28125.
25. Alanzi A, Honkala S, Honkala E, Varghese A, Tolvanen M, Söderling E. Effect of Lactobacillus rhamnosus and Bifidobacterium lactis on gingival health, dental plaque, and periodontopathogens in adolescents: a randomised placebo-controlled clinical trial. Benef Microbes. 2018;9(4):593-602.
26. Karuppaiah RM, Shankar S, Raj SK, Ramesh K, Prakash R, Kruthika M. Evaluation of the efficacy of probiotics in plaque reduction and gingival health maintenance among school children: a randomized control trial. J Int Oral Health. 2013;5(5):33.
27. Dadgar S, Heydarian A, Sobouti F, Goli H, Rakhshan V, Heidari M. Effects of probiotic and fluoride mouthrinses on Streptococcus mutans in dental plaque around orthodontic brackets: a preliminary explorative randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. Dent Res J (Isfahan). 2021;18:74.
28. Ratna Sudha M, Neelamraju J, Surendra Reddy M, Kumar M. Evaluation of the effect of probiotic Bacillus coagulans Unique IS2 on mutans Streptococci and Lactobacilli levels in saliva and plaque: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in children. Int J Dent. 2020;2020:8891708.
29. Jose JE, Padmanabhan S, Chitharanjan AB. Systemic consumption of probiotic curd and use of probiotic toothpaste to reduce Streptococcus mutans in plaque around orthodontic brackets. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2013;144(1):67-72.
30. Shah SS, Nambiar S, Kamath D, Suman E, Unnikrishnan B, Desai A, et al. Comparative evaluation of plaque inhibitory and antimicrobial efficacy of probiotic and chlorhexidine oral rinses in orthodontic patients: a randomized clinical trial. Int J Dent. 2019;2019:1964158.
31. Yousuf A, Sidiq M, Ganta S, Nagaraj A, Vishnani P, Jan I. Effect of freeze dried powdered probiotics on gingival status and plaque inhibition: a randomized, double-blind, parallel study. Contemp Clin Dent. 2017;8(1):116-121.
32. Janiani P, Ravindran V. Comparative evaluation of the antimicrobial effects of probiotic milk and probiotic powder on the salivary Streptococcus mutans counts and the plaque scores in children aged 3–6 years: a randomized controlled trial. Dent Med Probl. 2022;59(1):99-104.
33. Zhou Y, Wang Y, Deng S. Effectiveness of probiotic mouthwash on full crown anterior teeth prosthesis plaque accumulation and gingival inflammation in 20-30-year-olds. Br Dent J. 2025:1-6.
34. Badri SM, Felemban EH, Alnajjar GK, Alotaibi FM, Aljahdali ST, Maher YA, et al. Effectiveness of probiotic lozenges and chlorhexidine mouthwash on plaque index, salivary pH, and Streptococcus mutans count among school children in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Dent J. 2021;33(7):635-641.
35. Nisha DS, Sebastian B, Kalappurakkal R, Kirubakaran R. Efficacy of aloe vera and probiotic mouthwashes vs fluoride mouthwash on Streptococcus mutans in plaque around brackets of orthodontic patients: a randomized clinical trial. Angle Orthod. 2023;93(5):538-544.
36. Kandaswamy SK, Sharath A, Priya PG. Comparison of the effectiveness of probiotic, chlorhexidine-based mouthwashes, and oil pulling therapy on plaque accumulation and gingival inflammation in 10-to 12-year-old schoolchildren: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2018;11(2):66.
37. Babina K, Salikhova D, Makeeva I, Zaytsev A, Sokhova I, Musaeva S, et al. A three-month probiotic (the Streptococcus salivarius M18 strain) supplementation decreases gingival bleeding and plaque accumulation: a randomized clinical trial. Dent J (Basel). 2024;12(7):222.
38. Hu X, Huang Z, Zhang Y, Hong Y, Zheng Y. Effects of a probiotic drink containing Lactobacillus casei strain Shirota on dental plaque microbiota. J Int Med Res. 2019;47(7):3190-3202.
39. Li X, Zhao Z, Guo S, Yang C, Gao Y, Li L, et al. Effects of toothpaste containing inactivated Lacticaseibacillus paracasei Probio-01 on plaque-induced gingivitis and dental plaque microbiota. Microb Pathog. 2024;192:106701.
40. Lewiyonah R, Sutadi H, Fauziah E. Correlation of probiotic consumption with plaque index, salivary pH, and Streptococcus mutans: a clinical experimental study. Saudi Dent J. 2025;37(10):78.
41. Shenoy N, Talwar A, Shetty S. Clinical effect of probiotic containing Bacillus coagulans on plaque induced gingivitis: a randomised clinical pilot study. J Health Allied Sci NU. 2017;7(3):7-12.
42. Samsuri NS, Nicholas SL, Alim SB, Ariffin NB, Kamarudin NK, Shan TH. A clinical study on the effects of probiotics on dental plaque formation. Dentalhealth. [date unknown]:18.
43. Ingle NA, Chaly PE, Reddy VC. Effectiveness of probiotic and chlorhexidine mouthrinses on reduction of plaque, gingivitis & mutans streptococci count in saliva—a clinical trial. J Indian Assoc Public Health Dent. 2013;11(1):23-32.
44. Patil RU, Dastoor PP, Unde MP. Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial effectiveness of probiotic milk and fluoride mouthrinse on salivary Streptococcus mutans counts and plaque scores in children: an in vivo experimental study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2019;37(4):378-382.
45. Manoharan V, Fareed N, Battur H, Praveena J, Ishwar P. Probiotic potential of daily consumption of homemade curd on dental plaque among schoolchildren: a randomized controlled trial. J Indian Assoc Public Health Dent. 2020;18(3):193-198.
46. Mishra R, Tandon S, Rathore M, Banerjee M. Antimicrobial and plaque inhibitory potential of herbal and probiotic oral rinses in children: a randomized clinical trial. Indian J Dent Res. 2014;25(4):485-492.
47. Sharma P, Datta G, Gandhi K, Kumar D. A comparative evaluation of efficacy of probiotic and chlorhexidine mouthrinses on gingival health and plaque accumulation in 6-9 year old children. Int J Appl Decis Sci. 2019;5:156-162.
48. Baxi S, Bhatia V, Tripathi AA, Kumar P, Tiwari A, Bagde H, et al. Comparative evaluation of three probiotics for Streptococcus mutans prevention in plaque around orthodontic braces. Cureus. 2023;15(4).

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]

49. Bianco E, Rocchetti V, Cavarra F, Caimi ML, Santi L, Zago M. Plaque index and gingival index evaluation in subjects with gingivitis after two months of treatment with two different probiotic food supply: a retrospective study. Eur J Dent Oral Health. 2021;2(2):9-14.
50. Nilchian F, Esrafili M, Hosseini N. Evaluation of the effects of probiotic pills on the oral plaque indices: a randomized clinical trial. Dent Res J (Isfahan). 2024;21(1):38.
51. Caglar E. Effect of Bifidobacterium bifidum containing yoghurt on dental plaque bacteria in children. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2014;38(4).
52. Sivamaruthi BS, Kesika P, Chaiyasut C. A review of the role of probiotic supplementation in dental caries. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 2020;12(4):1300-1309.
53. Shi J, Wang Q, Ruan G, Chen Y, Zhao M, Shi D, et al. Efficacy of probiotics against dental caries in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2023;63(29):9977-9994.
54. Marttinen A, Haukioja A, Karjalainen S, Nylund L, Satokari R, Öhman C, et al. Short-term consumption of probiotic lactobacilli has no effect on acid production of supragingival plaque. Clin Oral Investig. 2012;16(3):797-803.
55. Luo SC, Wei SM, Luo XT, Yang QQ, Wong KH, Cheung PC, et al. How probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics, and postbiotics prevent dental caries: an oral microbiota perspective. npj Biofilms Microbiomes. 2024;10(1):14.

[Downloaded from pbp.medilam.ac.ir on 2026-11-05]

[DOI: 10.66224/pbp.8.3.22]